The Effect of Processing Method on Fortified Rice Noodle Quality and Fortificant Retention

Nura Malahayati1,*, Kharidah Muhammad2, Jamilah Bakar3, Roselina Karim3

1Department of Agricultural Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University, Indonesia
2UPM-BERNAS Research Laboratory, Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
3Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: Nura Malahayati, Department of Agricultural Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University, 30662 Ogan Ilir, South Sumatera, Indonesia, Tel: +62815-3837945; Fax: +62711-580664; E-mail: nura_malahayati@yahoo.com

Received Date: December 20, 2016
Accepted Date: March 23, 2017
Published Date: March 27, 2017


DOI: 10.15436/2377-0619.17.1279

Abstract

Rice noodle, a widely consumed rice product in Southeast Asia, is potentially a carrier for fortification with vitamin A, folic acid and iron. However, processing method is one of the main factors that may affect the quality of fortified rice noodle and retention of fortified micronutrients or fortificants in the noodle. The aims of this study were to examine chemical properties, quality, microstructure and sensory characteristics of fortified rice noodle which was prepared by two different processing methods, boiling and steaming. The retention of vitamin A, folic acid and iron at each stage of rice noodle processing was also investigated. Steaming enhanced the chemical properties, quality, microstructure and panelists preferences of rice noodle. The patterns of vitamin A, folic acid and iron retentions in rice noodle prepared by the two different processing methods were very similar at each stages of processing. However, vitamin A, folic acid and iron retentions at each stage of processing were significantly higher (p < 0.05) in rice noodle prepared by steaming than those in rice noodle prepared by boiling. Boiling retained 16.12% vitamin A, 35.08% folic acid and 86.46% iron while steaming retained 23.51% vitamin A, 48.75% folic acid and 99.34% iron.
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Introduction

Despite its wide consumption by the world population, polished rice is proven to be related to micronutrient deficiency among people in rice consuming countries, particularly vitamin A, folic acid, and iron deficiencies. Children and women of childbearing age are the most vulnerable segment to these micronutrient deficiencies. Adequate intake and availability of these dietary essential vitamins and minerals are closely related to the survival, physical and mental development, and the overall well-being of individuals.

Food fortification is one of the strategies to combat micronutrient deficiencies. This strategy is technologically and economically effective for increasing micronutrient intakes in human population[1] and it is the most practical and long-term approach[2]. Multiple fortification of several types of food including beverages[3], salt[4], Ultra rice®[5], and whole wheat flour[6] are gaining popularity to overcome micronutrient malnutrition in developing countries. In addition, the food consumed by most population segments, ideally, should be chosen as a vehicle.

Fortifying rice or rice products with deficient micronutrients is one of the strategies to overcome the deficiencies. Rice noodle, made from rice flour, is the main processed food product widely consumed in Southeast Asia. Rice noodle may be sold as a fresh product or they may be dried prior to packaging. Consumption of rice noodle fortified with multiple fortificants (vitamin A, folic acid and iron) may contribute in reducing the micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries, particularly in Southeast Asia.

In order to make any fortification program a success, it
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is important to monitor physicochemical changes that take place in the food vehicle and the end product as a result of fortification. Quality is one aspect that needs to be considered in fortified rice noodle production. Both cooking and textural qualities were found to influence the consumers’ acceptance towards the produced rice noodle[7-11]. In addition, the retention of fortified micronutrients is another crucial factor that determines the quality of fortified food products[12].

The domestic and small-scale production of rice noodles has long been widespread in rice-producing countries. The process used were varied from one region to another, to which were all based on the conventional ways which were linked to local traditions and also as a function of the particular qualities of the local types of rice. The common practice of producing rice noodle in the food industry is by boiling extruded rice dough which has been incorporated with a binding agent such as tapioca, maize or sago starch to improve textural quality of rice noodle[13]. However, this method was costly, time consuming and no micronutrient retention study has been done. In earlier study, we found that rice noodle produced from flour with particle size ≤ 63µm had an improvement in cooking and textural qualities, sensory properties, and retention of fortificants[14]. In this study, we applied similar fortified rice flour with particle size ≤ 63 µm to prepare the rice noodle and no binding agent was employed as it was replaced by flour particle size reduction.

The objective of this study therefore was to compare the effect of two processing methods on the chemical composition, cooking quality, microstructure, texture, sensory properties and fortificant retention of rice noodle.

Materials and Methods

Source of materials
Malaysian rice used for this study was obtained from a local supermarket in Serdang, Selangor State, Malaysia. The variety used was MR 219. Dry blended premix containing vitamin A, folic acid and iron (FT091283AP) was procured from Fortitech Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd, Malaysia used as a fortificant for the rice flour.

Preparation of fortified rice flour: Rice grains were dried-milled using a rice miller (Good and Well, Malaysia) with a 200 µm sieve. Rice flour sample was placed in a sieving machine (Fritsch Analysette 3, Germany) with 200 mm diameter sieves of particle sizes ≤ 63µm. Rice flour sample was fortified with a dry blended premix containing vitamin A, folic acid and iron (FT091283AP; Fortitech Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd, Malaysia) at the level of 300 µg of vitamin A, 16 µg of folic acid, and 42 ppm of iron based on Malaysian Food Regulation[15]. Rice flour and premix were mixed by shaking evenly in a closed plastic bottle for 30 min. The fortified rice flour samples were packed in airtight mould. The extruded dough was further processed by either of the two types of noodle processing methods: (a) boiled in water until it floats (10 min) followed by cooling in cold water (4 to 5°C) for 10 sec and strained, (b) steamed for 30 min until the surface and structure of noodle firms followed by blanching in hot water (98 to 100°C) for 10 sec and strained. The former aforementioned process was termed as process A and the later process B. Fresh rice noodles (RN) prepared using process A was then identified as RN-A while fresh rice noodle undergoing process B was identified as RN-B. A portion of RN-A and RN-B were dried at 40°C until 10% - 12% of final moisture was achieved (about 12 hr) which were then termed as DRN-A and DRN-B. Then, a portion of DRN-A and DRN-B were cooked (boiled) for 10 min become CRN-A and CRN-B.

Determination of starch gelatinization degree
The starch gelatinization degree of RN-A and RN-B was measured according to Birch and Priestley method[16]. The RN-A and RN-B were dried in an oven at 58°C and ground through a 200 µm sieve. The sample (0.2 g) was mixed with 98 mL of distilled water and 2.0 mL of 10 M potassium hydroxide and vortexed for 5 min prior to centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant (1.0 mL) was pipette into test tube and added with 0.4 mL of 0.5 M hydrochloric acid followed by 10 mL of distilled water and 0.1 mL of iodine solution. The mixture was homogenized and its absorbance was then measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Perkin10 Elmer, USA) at 600 nm. Standard starch solutions were prepared in the same manners as the sample described to obtain a standard curve that can be used to calculate the degree of gelatinization of samples.

Determination of selected chemical compositions
The ash and moisture contents of DRN-A and DRN-B were determined using the AOAC methods 923.03 and 925.10, respectively. Total fat of the noodles was determined with FOSS Soxtec Automated System 2050 (FOSS, Sweden) which complied with AOAC method 920.85. Total protein was determined using Kjeldahl method based on AOAC method 920.87[17].

Determination of the cooking and textural qualities
Cooking quality of rice noodle was determined using AACC International Approved Method 66 - 50[18]. Rice noodle (5.0 g) was cut into small pieces (2.0 cm in length) and boiled in 60 mL water until completely cooked (10 min). The cooked samples were washed in 20 mL distilled water, drained for 5 min, and weighed immediately. The cooking water was collected and transferred to a Petri dish and dried at 105°C to a constant weight. Total cooking loss was calculated based on the dry weight of noodle. The rehydration was calculated as the percentage increase in weight of the cooked noodle compared to the weight of dried noodle.

The cooked noodle was kept in a covered Petri dish for texture analysis within 15 min of cooking, using Stable Micro...
Systems TA-XT plus texture analyzer (Godalming, UK). Texture profile analysis (TPA) of cooked noodle was determined using Bhattacharya et al. method\[^2^\]. A strand of cooked noodle with 3.5 mm thickness was compressed by a cylinder probe (35.0 mm diameter) until the deformation reached 75% at a speed of 1.0 mm/s. The pause between the first and the second compressions was 0.5 second. From the force-time curve of the texture profile, textural properties including hardness, adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness, and chewiness were obtained. Ten measurements were made for each sample.

**Sensory evaluation**

Sensory evaluation of rice noodle samples (CRN) prepared by the two different processing methods was carried out in the sensory laboratory. Panelists were required to determine whether CRN-B was preferred over the CRN-A using paired preference test according to Lawless and Heymann method\[^1^9^\]. Sensory properties evaluated was overall acceptability (aroma, appearance, taste and texture). The test is one-sided as the CRN-B was developed to be more on overall acceptability in response to panelists. A group of 50 panelists comprising of students and staffs in Faculty of Food Science and Technology, University Putra Malaysia who were familiar with rice noodle were involved in evaluation. They received the two samples (CRN-A and CRN-B) in simultaneous presentation, half in the order CRN-A and CRN-B, the other half CRN-B and CRN-A. All samples are coded with three-digit random numbers. Panelists are encouraged to make a choice. The null hypothesis is H0: the preference for the better overall acceptability (aroma, appearance, taste and texture) CRN-B ≤ 50%. The alternative hypothesis is Ha: the preference for the CRN-B > 50%.

**Microstructure observation**

Rice noodle samples (DRN and CRN) were cut into 0.5 cm pieces and lyophilized. The lyophilized samples were cut into 1 to 2 mm length, mounted on stubs with double-sided tape and sputter-coated with gold using sputter coater (BAL-TEC XL30 ESEM series D6929, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) at the accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The surface and cross-section of DRN and CRN were observed at different magnifications.

**Determination of vitamin A**

Vitamin A as retinol contents of rice noodle samples was determined using a HPLC (Agilent Technologies, 1200 series, USA) according to AACC International Approved Method 86-06\[^18^\].

**Determination of folic acid**

Folic acid contents of rice noodle samples was determined using HPLC (Agilent Technologies, 1200 series, USA) according to Alaburda et al. Method\[^2^9^\]. Five grams of rice noodle samples were extracted with 50 mL of extraction tetrabrate-TCA buffer pH 8.5. The mixture was sonicated for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath (Branson DTH-5510, USA) and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was purified through a strong anionic exchange (SAX, 500 mg/3 mL) cartridge which was conditioned with 5 mL of methanol followed by 5 mL of water, before applying the sample. An analytical aliquot of 2.5 mL supernatant was transferred to the cartridge. The vacuum was adjusted to elude the sample at about 0.5 drop/sec. When the entire extract was eluted, the column was rinsed with 5 mL of water and completely drained after the last washing step. Folic acid was eluted with acetate-phosphate buffer (pH 4.5) directly into a 5 mL volumetric flask. The elude was filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon membrane (Advantec MFS, Inc.) and then quantifies using HPLC at 280 nm of UV detection.

To prepare a calibration curve, folic acid (Sigma Aldrich, USA) stock standard solution was prepared by dissolution in 0.1 mol/L of sodium hydroxyl. Then, appropriate volumes of the stock solution were diluted with acetate-phosphate buffer. Standard solution and sample volumes of 100 µL were injected in triplicate. The linearity was determined in the range of 6 - 600 ng/mL folic acid using 10 calibrators. For quantitative determination of folic acid peak areas of the sample, chromatograms were correlated with the concentrations according to the calibration curve.

**Determination of iron**

Iron (elemental iron) content of rice noodle samples was determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) (Perkin Elmer, AA400, Shelton, USA) according to AACC International Approved Method 40-70\[^19^\].

**Statistical analysis**

Rice noodle production and all determinations reported in this study were performed in triplicate. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher’s least significance difference test (LSD) to compare treatment means; differences were considered at significant level of 95% (p < 0.05) by using SPSS version 19 software.

**Results and Discussion**

**Effect of noodle processing method on chemical properties of rice noodle**

The chemical properties of rice noodle prepared by the two different processing methods are shown in (Table 1). Starch gelatinization degree of RN-B was significantly higher than that of RN-A (p < 0.05). This can be attributed to the longer cooking time and blanching process required during RN-B preparation subjecting the rice noodle to a higher degree of thermal treatment, resulting in the higher amount of gelatinized starch than that in RN-A.

Moisture content of DRN-B was significantly lower that of DRN-A. This must be due to the steaming followed by the blanching process resulting in lower water absorption compared to that occurring in the boiling process which was followed by cooling in cold water. Inversely, protein, fat, and ash contents of DRN-B were significantly higher than that of DRN-A (p < 0.05). This was due to leaching of intact starch granules and water soluble nutrients into the surrounding water during RN-A preparation. This result is consistent with the fact that noodle heated in the presence of water (boiling) undergoes an irreversible order-disorder transition (gelatinization) with various changes including starch granule swelling, water retension, loss of crystalline, and leaching amylase\[^2^5^\].
Cooking and textural qualities of rice noodle prepared by two different noodle processing methods are shown in (Table 2). The optimal cooking time for both DRN-A and DRN-B were 10 min (Table 2). Cooking loss and rehydration of DRN-B were significantly lower (p < 0.05) than that of DRN-A. The cooking loss and rehydration of DRN-B were 6.29 ± 0.98% and 5.11 ± 0.32%, respectively, which were well within the acceptable level of cooking loss for starchy noodle (Table 2).

Table 1: Chemical properties of rice noodle prepared by two different noodle processing methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rice noodle quality</th>
<th>Rice noodle prepared by</th>
<th>Process A</th>
<th>Process B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starch gelatinization degree (%)</td>
<td>95.95 ± 0.04</td>
<td>98.78 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>11.89 ± 0.27</td>
<td>11.12 ± 0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>6.47 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means for each characteristic followed by the same superscript within the same row are not significantly different at p < 0.05 by LSD test. Process A: boiling for 10 min followed by cooling in cold water (4 to 5°C) for 10 sec. Process B: steaming for 30 min followed by blanching in hot water (98 to 100°C) for 10 sec.

Table 2: Cooking and textural quality of rice noodle prepared by two different noodle processing methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rice noodle quality</th>
<th>Rice noodle prepared by</th>
<th>Process A</th>
<th>Process B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooking quality:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking time (min)</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.12</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking loss (%)</td>
<td>6.29 ± 0.98</td>
<td>5.11 ± 0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehydration (%)</td>
<td>322.28 ± 20.13</td>
<td>277.71 ± 11.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textural quality:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (g)</td>
<td>2127.20 ± 19.73</td>
<td>2582.93 ± 45.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesiveness (gs)</td>
<td>60.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>40.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springiness</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesiveness</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewiness (gmm)</td>
<td>740.47 ± 18.08</td>
<td>926.67 ± 28.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means for each characteristic followed by the same superscript within the same row are not significantly different at p < 0.05 by LSD test. Process A: boiling for 10 min followed by cooling in cold water (4 to 5°C) for 10 sec. Process B: steaming for 30 min followed by blanching in hot water (98 to 100°C) for 10 sec.

Sensory evaluation of rice noodle

Results of sensory evaluation based on overall acceptability of CRN-A and CRN-B showed that 36 panelists preferred the CRN-B. Based on the minimum value (X) required for significant preference[19], the value for 50 panelists at an alpha of 5% was 33. The obtained value of 36 was greater than the minimum value required and therefore, the panelists had a significant preference for the CRN-B over the CRN-A.

Microstructures of rice noodles prepared by two different noodle processing methods

Microstructures of RN, DRN and CRN were affected by the noodle processing method as shown in (Figure 1, 2, 3), respectively. The cross-section of RN-A exhibited a compact structure with some small empty holes (Figure 1A). Furthermore, the RN-B exhibited a regular, smooth and close structure (Figure 1B). This result was consistent with the finding that RN-B had more gelatinized starch than RN-A. Such a structure of RN-B can cause less oxygen diffusion and therefore, may result in less fortificant degradation.

Figure 1: Cross-sections of fresh rice noodle (RN) prepared by two different noodle processing methods. (A) RN-A, (B) RN-B at X1500 magnification.
Starch gelatinization in RN influenced the network formation of bonded swollen starch granules present in the porous structure of DRN. The higher proportion of gelatinized starch in RN-B resulted in less porosity of DRN-B (Figure 2B) than DRN-A (Figure 2A).

After cooking, the cross-sections of CRN-A and CRN-B exhibited completely gelatinized rice noodle structures with visible swollen starch network. The cross-section of CRN-B exhibited a more regular, smoother and intact structure (Figure 3B) than that of CRN-A (Figure 3A). This may be due to less leaching of starch occurring during cooking of CRN-B. This result was consistent with the finding that DRN-B had lesser cooking loss than DRN-A.

The effect of noodle processing method on retention of vitamin A, folic acid and iron in rice noodles

Laboratory scale processing methods were selected and set up to control each stage during studies of two different processing methods (process A and B) on rice noodles. Overall, fortificant retention in noodles that have gone through six different stages of processing and labeled as RN-A, DRN-A, CRN-A, RN-B, DRN-B and CRN-B was studied. The retention of the fortificant in rice noodle samples was expressed as percentages of its original level in the fortified rice flour (FRF).

Vitamin A, folic acid and iron retention of rice noodle prepared by process A and B at each stage of processing are shown in Figure 4, 5 and 6, respectively. Each stage of rice noodle processing has potential effect on the retention of fortificant. The patterns of fortificant retention observed during the stages of processing for rice noodle prepared by process A and B were very similar. There were significant decreases in fortificant retention throughout the process from fortified rice flour (FRF) to the final product (CRN) (p < 0.05). However, the decreasing values of fortificant retention were significantly higher (p < 0.05) in rice noodle prepared by process A than in rice noodle prepared by process B. There were 16.79 ± 2.96% and 21.91 ± 1.35% retention of vitamin A, 12.68 ± 2.25% and 29.06 ± 5.66% retention of folic acid, and 89.15 ± 0.49% and 92.68 ± 0.48% retention of iron in CRN-A and CRN-B, respectively.

There were two stages that contributed to large losses of fortificant. First was the preparation of RN-A and RN-B from the fortified rice flour. RN-A had a significantly lower (p < 0.05) retention of fortificant compared to RN-B. RN-A and RN-B showed 43.15 ± 3.17% and 69.11 ± 1.65% retentions of vitamin A, 58.25 ± 3.18% and 80.00 ± 0.72% retentions of folic acid, and 96.36 ± 0.68% and 99.52 ± 0.35% retentions of iron, respectively. These results were consistent with the finding that the variability in fortificant retention (especially for fat soluble vitamins) was due to greater oxygen exposure during boiling than during steaming resulting in less fortificant retention in RN-A than in RN-B. These results were also supported by the findings of previous studies which indicated that availability of oxygen during cooking affects the stability of vitamins and minerals[24-26].

Another factor causing less retention of fortificant in RN-A was the leaching of the fortificant into the surrounding water during the boiling process. The result was in agreement with the findings by Watzke[27], Dang, et al.[28] and Lešková, et al.[29] where it was reported that the primary mechanism leading to vitamin and mineral losses is leaching.

In the study that used Ultra Rice™ (UR) to examine retention of retinyl palmitate after cooking procedure, approximately 20% of retinyl palmitate was found in the excess water after cooking. The findings proved that leaching was the main cause of retinyl palmitate loss as a result of cooking[30]. The pro-
portion of folate loss from noodles during cooking has also been investigated. Bui and Small[31] reported that boiling contributed to a loss of about 38% and 40% of folic acid in white salted noodles and yellow alkaline noodles, respectively, while the loss of folic acid during steaming was just 20.3% in instant noodle processing.

The other stage of rice noodle processing that caused a significant loss in the fortificant was cooking the dried rice noodles in boiling water. The losses of vitamin A when dried rice noodles were cooked in boiling water were 56.85% in DRN-A and 66.38% in DRN-B (Figure 4). This was due to the fact that vitamin A rapidly loses its activity when heated in the presence of oxygen, especially at higher temperatures. These results were in good agreement with that of Lešková, et al.[29] who reported that boiling resulted in vitamin A losses as high as 67%. Likewise, Sungpuag, et al.[32] reported a 43% loss in the vitamin A content of vegetables during boiling. In addition, vitamin A loss in food products may also be caused by the presence of trace metals such as iron[33-37]. The research conducted by Solon, et al.[37] in the Philippines revealed a substantial reduction of vitamin A to 70% after a month of storage in premix that included iron (45 mg/kg flour).

Similarly, relatively large losses of folic acid occurred when DRN-A and DRN-B were cooked in boiling water, which were 49.95% and 38.41%, respectively (Figure 5). Like many water-soluble vitamins, the loss of folic acid from rice noodle samples during processing is predominantly caused by leaching rather than by oxidation or thermal degradation. This result is supported by the findings of previous studies which reported that folate is primarily lost from leaching into the cooking water, but not due to oxidation or other degradation pathways[28,31,38-42].

This fact is also reinforced by studies that found the sum of folate content of drained food samples and that in the drained water, after processing, to be nearly equivalent to the total folate of the raw food samples[28,38]. Similar to vitamin A, the presence of iron can also increase folic acid loss[30,43].

Relatively, little loss occurred during the drying process from RN to DRN. The step of drying RN-A and RN-B for 12 hours at 40°C resulted in merely 8.95% and 5.70% loss of vitamin A, respectively. These results were lower than the findings of O’Brien and Robertson[44], who reported that during the processing of macaroni, oven drying for 9 to 12 hours at 50°C resulted in a 14% loss of vitamin A. Other study has observed a 13% loss of vitamin A after drying of long durum wheat pasta[45]. In addition, losses of folic acid were 0.32% and 2.97% during the drying of RN-A and RN-B, respectively. Bui and Small[31] reported that drying at a temperature of 40°C did not affect the folate content in white salted and yellow alkaline noodles.

Compared to vitamin A and folic acid, iron was a much more stable mineral fortificant under rice noodle processing conditions in this study. However, iron was loss from the DRN-A and DRN-B samples mainly through boiling, with 6.90% and 6.45% losses, respectively (Figure 6). The primary mechanism of iron losses is through leaching as discussed by Watzke[27].
Conclusion

Rice noodle prepared by steaming contained significantly higher amount of gelatinized starch, ash, protein and fat than rice noodle prepared by boiling. Furthermore, rice noodle prepared by steaming had better cooking and textural qualities in terms of less cooking loss, less sticky feel upon consumption, harder, springy and chewy texture, and were more preferred by sensory panelists compared to rice noodle prepared by boiling followed by cooling. The losses of vitamin A, folic acid and iron appeared to occur at each step of the rice noodle processing. However, retentions of vitamin A, folic acid and iron at each stage of the processing were significantly higher (p < 0.05) in steamed noodle than in boiled noodle. This was due to the regular, smooth and close structure of the steamed noodle. Of all the processing stages, the losses of vitamin A, folic acid and iron occurred substantially during cooking of the dried rice noodle. Rice noodle prepared by steaming is recommended for fortification purpose since it produced better rice noodle in terms of chemical properties, cooking and textural qualities and retentions of vitamin A, folic acid and iron.
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