Investigation on Formation Mechanism of Magnetic Nanoparticles-Coated on Polystyrene Microspheres in Aqueous Solution of Anhydrous Ethylenediamine and Hexamethylenetetramine
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Abstract

The monodispersed polystyrene (PS) microspheres were modified by concentrated sulfuric acid to obtain PS microspheres with negative charges, and then polystyrene/magnetic composite microspheres were fabricated by a simple and novel method in aqueous solution of anhydrous Ethylene diamine (EDA) and Hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA). Through serials of contrast experiments (based on different precursor ratios) and characteristic investigations of target samples, we have discovered the functions of EDA and HMTA, respectively. EDA not only acted as a weak base providing OH- ions, but also acted as a chelating combining with Fe2+ ions, which could control the concentration of Fe2+ ions, and resulted in the pure Fe3O4 nanoparticle coated on the surface of PS microspheres. Otherwise, the typical XRD peaks of FeOOH and Fe3O4 appeared, simultaneously, if HMTA played an action in the formation magnetic nanoparticles-coated PS microspheres. It indicated that HMTA could provide OH- ions and make Fe2+ to become FeOOH and Fe3O4, simultaneously, which could be confirmed the reaction mechanism suggested by us. Finally, the corresponding possible reaction mechanisms of EDA and HMTA for fabricating magnetic composite microspheres have been suggested in detail by combining SEM, XRD, FTIR analysis together.
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Introduction

In the past decades, there is a growing interest in the design and synthesis of composite microspheres with a dielectric solid sphere (e.g., silica, polystyrene) covered by metallic and their corresponding metal oxides shell, such as copper[1], gold[2], silver[3,4], iron oxide[5], zinc oxide[6,7], titanium oxide[8,9] and zirconium dioxide[10]. Such materials may exhibit a few unique electrical, optical, magnetic, catalytic, well-dispersed, or mechanical properties comparing to the traditional isotropic spherical microspheres. Iron oxide, which is a typical super paramagnetic material possessing a cubic inverse spinel structure, has been widely investigated due to their potential applications such as catalysts[11], photonics[12], separation and purification of bio-molecules, MRI contrast agent, hyperthermia, biosensor, and targeted drug delivery[13-16]. Owing to these properties of magnetic nanoparticles, the design and fabrication of various composite microspheres architectures based on magnetic nanoparticles has become an important research subject.

Polymer-based magnetic composite materials have their advantageous characteristics combining properties of easily synthesizing and modifying, and solving the problem of condensation of magnetic microspheres. In the system of Fe2+, Fe3+ in ammonium hydroxide (NH4•H2O) solution and tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide ((CH3)4NOH) solution, monodisperse super paramagnetic PS/iron oxide composite colloidal spheres were fabricated in 2001 by Xu[17]. Later, Tang and co-workers[18,19] have reported a novel method of fabricating PS/magnetic materials composite particles in Hexamethylenetetramine(HMTA)/Diethylene glycol (DEG) solution, the effects of DEG in aqueous
Ethylenediamine (EDA)/HMTA aqueous solution, and placed.

was purchased from Aladdin and J & K, respectively. Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), absolute ethanol were obtained from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, K30) was bought from Shang-hai Chemical Reagent Co. (China). It should be noted that all the materials used were without further purification.

In this paper, we emphasized a simple method for the synthesis of PS/Fe₃O₄ composite microspheres in anhydrous Ethylene diamine (EDA)/HMTA aqueous solution, and placed the attraction on the possible formation mechanism of PS/Fe₃O₄, especially the formation and reaction mechanism of Fe₃O₄ shell. The monodispersed PS microspheres were prepared by dispersion polymerization, and their surfaces were modified by concentrated sulfuric acid to obtain PS microspheres with negative charges, then the PS/Fe₃O₄ composite microspheres were prepared without additional oxidizing agent. Through a series of contrast experiments, we have discovered the functions of EDA and HMTA, individually, and investigated the corresponding possible reaction mechanism of the shell of iron compounds and the formation mechanism of PS/Fe₃O₄ in detail by the SEM, XRD, IR analysis, respectively.

Experimental section

Materials

Styrene, ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl₂•4H₂O), anhydrous Ethylene diamine(EDA) and Ethylene glycol (EG) were purchased from Shanghai Lingfeng Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, K30) was bought from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). 2, 2’- azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) and Hexamethylenetetramine (HMT) were purchased from Aladdin and J & K, respectively. Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), absolute ethanol were obtained from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co. (China). It should be noted that all the materials used were without further purification.

Synthesis of monodispersed PS microspheres and sulfonated PS microspheres

The monodispersed polystyrene (PS) microspheres were fabricated by dispersion polymerization and modified by concentrated sulfuric acid to obtain PS microspheres with negative charges[22]. First, the mixture of ethanol, deionized water, concentrated sulfuric acid to obtain PS microspheres with negative charges, then the PS/Fe₃O₄ composite microspheres were prepared without additional oxidizing agent. Through a series of contrast experiments, we have discovered the functions of EDA and HMTA, individually, and investigated the corresponding possible reaction mechanism of the shell of iron compounds and the formation mechanism of PS/Fe₃O₄ in detail by the SEM, XRD, IR analysis, respectively.

Preparation of PS/Fe₃O₄ composite microspheres

About 0.2 g sulfonated PS microspheres were dispersed in 120 mL deoxygenated water under ultrasonication. Then, a certain amount of FeCl₂•4H₂O, EDA and HMTA was dissolved in 10 mL deionized water, respectively, and added their aqueous solution into the dispersion in turn. The resulting solution was heated to 80 °C for 5 h. The obtained PS/Fe₃O₄ particles were centrifuged (8000 rpm) and washed with ethanol for six times, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 40 °C for 12 h. The detailed reaction conditions were given in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Particle morphology

Mono-disperse and smooth PS microspheres with an average diameter of 1.5 μm were showed in Figure 1(a). Figures 1(b-e) presented that SEM images of magnetic material/PS composite microspheres fabricated by adjusting the mole ratios of FeCl₂•4H₂O/HMTA/EDA. First, it could be observed from Figures 1(b-d) that the complete, homogeneous and needle-like nanoparticles well coated on the surface of PS particles, respectively, when the mole ratios of Fe²⁺/EDA were kept 1:3(1#-3#), and the SEM images showed that there were no any obvious differences. Otherwise, Figure 1(e) showed that there were few small particles coated on the surface of PS microspheres when the chelating EDA was absent (6# shown in Table 1). It could be concluded that the increasing of moles of HMTA could not change the morphology of composite microspheres, importantly; magnetic material-coated composite microspheres could not be obtained when EDA as a good complexing agent is absent.

Crystal structure of nanoparticles coated on the surface of PS microspheres

In order to investigate whether the nanoparticles coated on the surface of PS are our target Fe₃O₄ particles or any other iron species. Figures 2 and 3 showed the XRD patterns of samples 1# - 6# which were prepared by adjusting the different mole ratios of Fe²⁺/HMTA/EDA. First, there were only five diffraction peaks at 20 value of 30.2°, 35.6°, 43.3°, 57.3° and 62.9° appeared in XRD patterns of samples 1#, 2# and 3#, which could be indexed to the peaks of the face-centered-cubic phase of Fe₃O₄ (JCPDS no.19-629)[18,19], these peaks corresponded to five indexed planes (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440) of magnetite Fe₃O₄, respectively. Furthermore, no characteristic diffraction peaks from other phases or impurities were detected in the XRD patterns of samples 1#, 2# and 3#, which implied that particles coated on the surface of PS were pure Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Second, comparing with the XRD patterns of

Table 1: The PS/Fe₃O₄ composite microspheres design of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample(#)</th>
<th>Fe²⁺/HMTA/EDA (mol)</th>
<th>Iron concentration (mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:0:3</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5:0:3</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:2:3</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5:2:3</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:4:3</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1:4:0</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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samples 1#, 3# (in Figure 2) and 5# (in Figure 3), we could find that the crystal structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles did not change by increasing the mole number of HMTA. However, comparing the XRD patterns of samples 3# and 4#, it could be found the typical peaks of FeOOH (showed by arrows) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ appear (sample 4#), simultaneously, if the mole ratio of Fe$^{2+}$/EDA was increased more than 1:3 (exactly, it is up to 1.5:3) when the mole ratio of HMTA/EDA was still equal to 2:3 in synthetic system. It indicated that EDA first combined with the Fe$^{2+}$, and then HMTA had the choice to participate in combining with the excess Fe$^{2+}$ if the mole ratio of Fe$^{2+}$/EDA was increased more than 1:3. Third, as shown in Figures 2(a) and (b) (samples 1# and 2#), pure Fe$_3$O$_4$-coated PS microspheres could be successfully obtained by one step when only adding EDA (HMTA was absent). It indicates that EDA played a decisive role in forming Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$-coated on PS microspheres, which was because EDA could provide OH$^-$ ions, meanwhile, EDA had the chelating activity with metals$^{[23]}$. Finally, we talked about HMTA which was a non-toxic, water soluble and non-ionic tetradentate cyclic tertiary amine, furthermore, it served not only as complexing and passivating reagent but also as an indirect soft template$^{[24]}$. Otherwise, there were few magnetic particles coated on the surface of PS, shown in Figure 1(e) when the only HMTA was added into this reaction system (EDA was absent), and then it indicated that HMTA did not play an important role in the formation of composite microspheres. Meanwhile, from the XRD patterns of Figure 3(b), the typical peaks of FeOOH (showed by arrows) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ appear, simultaneously, when only HMTA was added into this reaction system (EDA was absent). It indicated that HMTA can provide OH$^-$ ions and make Fe$^{2+}$ to become FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$, simultaneously, which could be confirmed the reaction mechanism suggested by us.

According to the experimental results, now, we displayed the reaction mechanisms suggested by us when EDA and HTMA were added into the reaction system, respectively. First, it was well-known that EDA was a good chelating$^{[23]}$, the ferrous-EDA complex ([Fe(EDA)$_3$]$^{2+}$) immediately formed (Equation (1)) when the EDA was added according to the mole ratio of Fe$^{2+}$/EDA(=1:3). The surface of sulfonated PS microspheres was negative, which led to the increment of polarity of PS surface, then PS microspheres were surrounded by ([Fe(EDA)$_3$]$^{2+}$) through electrostatic forces after adding Fe$^{2+}$ and EDA in the reaction system, which resulted in that Fe$_3$O$_4$ successfully coated on the surface of sulfonated PS microspheres. As for the controlled releasing of Fe$^{2+}$ ions mentioned above, which was decomposed by the equilibrium of the ferrous-EDA complex (Equation (1)). Meanwhile, the free EDA molecules experienced the hydrolysis process (Equation (2)), which could provide OH$^-$ ions. Thus, EDA had the water solubility and non-ionic tetradentate cyclic tertiary amine, served not only as complexing and passivating reagent but also as an indirect soft template$^{[24]}$. Otherwise, there were few magnetic particles coated on the surface of PS, shown in Figure 1(e) when the only HMTA was added into this reaction system (EDA was absent), and then it indicated that HMTA did not play an important role in the formation of composite microspheres. Meanwhile, from the XRD patterns of Figure 3(b), the typical peaks of FeOOH (showed by arrows) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ appear, simultaneously, when only HMTA was added into this reaction system (EDA was absent). It indicated that HMTA can provide OH$^-$ ions and make Fe$^{2+}$ to become FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$, simultaneously, which could be confirmed the reaction mechanism suggested by us.

Figure 1: TEM image of (a) PS microspheres; SEM images of PS/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite microspheres (b) Sample 1# (1:0:3); (c) Sample 3# (1:2:3), (d) Sample 5# (1:4:3) and (e) Sample 6# (1:4:0).

Figure 2: (a)-(d) presented the XRD patterns of the samples 1# - 4#, respectively.
ions. Gradually, the concentrations of Fe$^{2+}$ ions and OH- ions increased, and then formed Fe$_{3}O_{4}$ according to Equation (3) when O$_{2}$ in the air existed in this reaction system (Equation (3)). Hence, EDA not only acted as a weak base during the reaction, which could effectively control the supply of OH- ions, but also acted as a chelating combining with Fe$^{2+}$ ions, which could control the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ ions.

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + 3\text{NH}_3 \cdot (\text{CH}_2) \cdot \text{NH}_2 \leftrightarrow [\text{Fe} \left(\text{NH}_3 \cdot (\text{CH}_2) \cdot \text{NH}_2\right)]^{2+} \quad (1)$$
$$\text{NH}_3 \cdot (\text{CH}_2) \cdot \text{NH}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_3 \cdot (\text{CH}_2) \cdot \text{NH}_3 + 2\text{OH}^{-} \quad (2)$$
$$6\text{Fe}^{2+} + 12\text{OH}^{-} + \text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, the reaction mechanism was suggested by us when HMTA did its work in the reaction system. Both FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles could be obtained when only HMTA (sample 6#) was added, which was confirmed by the XRD pattern in Figure 3(b). It meant that HMTA could provide OH- ions by means of hydrolysis (Equations (4) and (5)) and XRD pattern in Figure 3(b). It meant that HMTA could provide OH- ions by means of hydrolysis (Equations (4) and (5)). Hence, HMTA (sample 6#) was added, which was confirmed from the XRD pattern in Figure 3(b). It meant that HMTA could provide OH- ions by means of hydrolysis (Equations (4) and (5)). Hence, HMTA not only acted as a weak base during the reaction, which could effectively control the supply of OH- ions, but also acted as a chelating combining with Fe$^{2+}$ ions, which could control the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ ions.

$$\text{C}_6\text{H}_12\text{H}_4 + 10\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow 6\text{HCHO} + 4\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)$$
$$\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_4^{+} + \text{OH}^{-} \quad (5)$$
$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{NH}_4 \leftrightarrow [\text{Fe} \left(\text{NH}_3\right)]^{2+} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, the reaction mechanism was suggested by us when HMTA did its work in the reaction system. Both FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles could be obtained when only HMTA (sample 6#) was added, which was confirmed by the XRD pattern in Figure 3(b). It meant that HMTA could provide OH- ions by means of hydrolysis (Equations (4) and (5)) and HMTA could serve as a complexing agent with metal ions as well$^{[24]}$, then the ferrous-NH$_3$ complex immediately formed by Equation (6) when the HMTA was added. Subsequently, the sulfonated PS microspheres were surrounded by electrostatic forces in the reaction system, and then the magnetic particles were successfully coated on the surface of PS microspheres. However, it could be observed that few magnetic particles coated on the surface of PS microspheres from TEM in Figure 1(e). It indicated that few formed by Equation (6) and the most of Fe$^{2+}$ source appeared by Fe$^{2+}$ ions in reactive system. Free Fe$^{2+}$ ions could be easily oxidized to Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the reaction system, the OH- ions obtained by the hydrolysis of HMTA would react with the oxidized Fe$^{3+}$ ions, and then the main product was FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles (Equation (7)). Moreover, Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles eventually obtained by dehydrating between the FeOOH and Fe$_2$O$_3$ ions. Therefore, few magnetic particles could coat on PS microspheres due to the freedom of Fe$^{3+}$ ions in solution and the spatial structure of [Fe(NH$_3$)$_3$]$^{3+}$ although HMTA could be served as a complexing agent, which was confirmed by TEM of Figure 1(e). The overall reaction equation obtained Equation (9) by combining Equation (7) and Equation (8). Thus, the coated-nanoparticles on PS microspheres were magnetic FeOOH and Fe$_3$O$_4$ when HMTA did its work in the reaction system.

$$4\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2 + 9\text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow 4\text{FeOOH} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (7)$$
$$2\text{FeOOH} + \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (8)$$
$$5\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + 10\text{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow 2\text{FeOOH} + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)$$

**FTIR spectra of the samples**

The structure of the fabricated composite microspheres were further investigated, Figure 4 showed the IR spectra of PS, sulfonated PS microspheres, Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles and PS/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite microspheres. First, as shown in Figure 4, the characteristic peaks in both spectra of PS (Figures 4(a) and c) and sulfonated PS microspheres (Figures 4(b)) around 679, 756, 1452 and 1493 cm$^{-1}$ could be clearly observed, which were ascribed to characteristic structure of PS microspheres$^{[22]}$, it indicated that the two samples possessed the PS backbone. Meanwhile, it could be found that the strong peak at 1180 cm$^{-1}$ was present in Figure 4(b) and absent in Figure 4(a), which was due to the characteristic peak of sulfuric acid groups (-SO$_3$H) of sulfonated PS microspheres, all in all, this result demonstrated that the PS spheres had been successfully modified by sulfuric acid groups (-SO$_3$H). Second, as shown in IR spectra of Fe$_3$O$_4$ in Figure 4(c), the broadband at 3422 and 1629 cm$^{-1}$ illustrated the presence of hydroxyl groups (-OH) on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$, bands appeared at 577 cm$^{-1}$ were attributed to Fe-O vibration. Finally, compared with the IR spectra of Figures 4(b)-(d), it showed that the characteristic peaks due to hydroxyl groups on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe-O vibration were well displayed in Figure 4(d), meanwhile, the intensity of these peaks characterized by PS microspheres was weaker, which was because the PS microspheres were surrounded by Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. IR spectra showed that the Fe$_3$O$_4$-coated PS composite microspheres were successfully synthesized.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we described a simple, effective, and friendly method to fabricate the polystyrene (PS)/magnetic composite microspheres in aqueous solution of EDA and HMTA. Neither additional oxidizing agent nor any surfactant was necessary in this process. In order to investigate whether the coated nanoparticles of PS was our target Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles or any other iron species, the XRD patterns of samples confirmed the formation of PS/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite microspheres and the coated nanoparticles was pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. Through a series of contrast experiments, we have discovered the functions of EDA and
and resulted in the pure Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} particle coated on the surface of the free Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions in solution and the spatial structure of the MSNs coated on PS microspheres, the reason for this was due to the freedom of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions in solution and the spatial structure of [Fe(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{2+}. Finally, the corresponding possible reaction mechanisms of the coated Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles and PS/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} composite microspheres in detail have been suggested and confirmed by comparing SEM, XRD and FTIR analysis together.
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