A 26-year-old lady was evaluated at our hospital for rheumatic mitral valve disease in March 2007. She underwent Mitral Valve Replacement (MVR) with a bio-prosthetic valve (Bio-cor - 27 mm) in April 2007. She was discharged on the 9th day with stable hemodynamics and optimized INR values. During follow up she had optimal INR values and normal gradients across the valve. After three months of adequate anticoagulation her warfarin was stopped since she was in sinus rhythm. She continued to take aspirin and remained in sinus rhythm. She was advised infective endocarditis prophylaxis.

Eleven months after MVR she developed breathlessness over one week, which gradually worsened to orthopnoea. On admission she had pulmonary edema and the gradients across the bio-prosthetic mitral valve were increased (Maximum of 34 mmHg and Mean of 24 mmHg). Her previous echocardiogram in July 2007 showed normal gradients across the valve.

Her transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiograms were suggestive of a stuck mitral prosthesis (Echo figures). There was a mobile membranous structure that appeared like a detached valve apparatus but could not be clearly delineated pre-operatively. We assumed that it was an unstable prosthetic structure. The intra-operative echocardiogram also showed similar features.
ECHO FIGURE 2:

Direct inspection of the valve revealed a fibrous tissue overgrowth almost completely obstructing the valve orifice (Figure 1). There was thrombus on the valve between the pannus like overgrowth and the bio-prosthetic valve leaflets and on the left ventricular side of the mitral prosthetic valve. (Figure 2) The leaflets of the bio-prosthesis were structurally normal and opened normally, both in-situ and after explantation. There was no left atrial thrombus. The fibrotic tissue was removed and the valve was explanted and replaced with a St. Jude bileaflet mechanical prosthesis.

Figure 1: Intraoperative pictures of the mitral valve demonstrating the removal of the pannus

Figure 2: The explanted prosthetic mitral valve with the thrombus and the pannus shown separately

She had an uneventful postoperative period and was discharged on adequate anticoagulation. Her early follow up showed normally functioning mitral mechanical prosthesis and she was asymptomatic.
Discussion

Valve thrombosis is a complication predominantly occurring with mechanical prosthetic valves, especially in the mitral position\(^1\). Valve thrombosis with bioprosthesis is rare\(^2\). Very few cases have been reported with bio-prosthetic valves, especially in the first year. Bio-prosthetic valve ‘pseudo stenosis’ has been reported in patients with complete preservation of the mitral apparatus\(^3\). Mixed prosthetic valve dysfunction caused by a membranous pannus growth, with a central orifice, over a mechanical prosthetic valve has been reported\(^4\). Early bioprosthetic dysfunction after radio frequency ablation (MAZE procedure) for atrial fibrillation is known to occur\(^5\). Bioprosthetic valve thrombosis usually occurs in the early postoperative period, before endothelialisation of the suture zone is complete. Hence, patients are anticoagulated for the first three months postoperatively, particularly for bioprosthesis at mitral position. The occurrence of a pannus and a thrombus in a bioprosthetic valve nearly at one year is extremely unusual. In this case the exact reason for rapid pannus formation is not known.

In literature, three factors are known to predispose to thrombus formation on a bioprosthesis, they are endothelial, hemodynamic and haemostatic factors\(^6\). The typical presentation is of acute pulmonary edema and transthoracic echocardiogram is essential in diagnosis\(^7\). Cinefluoroscopy may not contribute in diagnosis\(^8\). Surgery is a preferred option of treatment in these patients\(^9,10\).
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