Urethral meatus stenosis can present itself in both genders, however more so in masculine. In men, it is usually caused by the inflammation of said meatus following circumcision, mainly in children, and constitutes the entity known as ammoniacal meatitis, which brings with itself scarring and growth of abnormal tissue, generally a thin layer of skin which obliterates the meatus\(^1\). In adults, it can be related to any inflammatory or infectious pathology of the urethra (both specific and non-specific), traumatisms (especially secondary to urethral instrumental manipulation) or be the result of failure of previous repairing of a hypospadias. In any case, the most frequent cause of this pathology in the adult patient is lichen sclerosus\(^2,3\).

A combination of topic steroids and antibiotics can help stabilize inflammation around the meatus. In this way, in patients whose meatus permits an acceptable urinary flow conservative therapy is justified. However, in patients who present a severe stenosis which provokes obstructive pathology, surgical correction is indicated\(^4\).

The “ideal meatoplasty” should not only consider functional aspects but also aesthetical. Then, not only should the obstruction be resolved permanently, but also a lineal meatus which allows an emission of urine with no dispersion of it must be provided.

The surgical treatment of this entity presents high recurrence rates, which implies an important repercussion in the patients’ quality of life, which becomes diminished as a result of the multiple reinterventions\(^5\). A surgical alternative which has been recently described\(^6\) is the dorsal meatoplasty in “inverted V”, which was successfully performed in our hospital. Its attained functional and aesthetical results are being prospectively evaluated and described in this article.

Objectives

The main objective of this study is to describe this technique for treatment of meatal stenosis secondary to balanitis xerotica obliterans. We also attempt to bring forward the excellent
results, both aesthetic and functional obtained in the long term with this procedure.

**Patients and method**

Between January 2006 and December 2016, with previous approval of the ethical committee of our institution, we have performed surgery on 57 patients with meatal stenosis secondary to balanitis xerotica obliterans, using the “inverted V” dorsal meatoplasty, according to the original technique described by Malone. The mean age of our patients was 63 years old (range from 15 to 83).

According to their backgrounds, a total of 43 patients (75%) were previously circumcised, 12 (21%) followed a periodic autodilatation program, and another 15 (26%) had a previous venral meatoplasty.

As inclusion criteria, a severe meatal stenosis, and a diagnosis of balanitis xerotica obliterans (clinical or histopathological) were required. In this way, all the patients in the study were diagnosed with lichen sclerosus clinically, and in 40 of them (70%) the disease was pathologically confirmed. All those patients who did not have a pure meatal stenosis were discarded, as in case of being the navicular fossa affected, we advise to perform a urethroplasty.

In all cases an uroflowmetry was done before and after the intervention (usually in the revision 6 months into post-operative period), for which a Medical Measurement System (MMS) multicanal equipment was used (UP-2000 model). To measure the post mictional residue, we used a Toshiba ecograph with a 5 MegaHz convex abdominal transducer.

The mean long term surveillance period has been 39.5 months (range 6 - 130), being done on all the patients in the manner of an exhaustive interview about characteristics of the urinary flow as well as a careful clinical exploration opening up the new meatus so as to visualize the urethra. Also, in the revision carried out 6 months later, all the patients filled in a brief questionnaire concerning their degree of satisfaction with all the results of the intervention (details on Table 1).

### Table 1: Obtained results in the patient’s satisfaction questionnaire in regards to the procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Are you pleased with the cosmetic appearance of your penis after the operation?</td>
<td>Very happy</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unhappy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very unhappy</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. When you pass urine do you spray?</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>About half the time</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If you do spray when you pass urine how bad is it?</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all patients the surgical procedure was carried out following the next sequence: approximately one hour prior to the procedure, the patient applies a lidocaine and 50% prilocaine cream (EMLA cream), topically extended on all the surface of the penis. Infiltration of local anaesthetic in the body of the penis (2% lidocaine). Optionally a tourniquet can be applied in the base of the penis to minimize haemorrhage and ease the intervention, though lately we choose not to carry out this option. 2-4/0 nylon reference sutures are laid down in either side of the stenotic meatus. Minimal incision of the meatus on its ventral side, creating a small meatotomy so as to ensure the meatus does not become hypospadic (Figure 1A). Comproation of the proximal urethra’s indemnity with the introduction of a pin or a couple of centimetres of an 18 or 20 Ch probe (Figure 1B). In case the stenosis extended significantly in the navicular fossa, said technique would fail, for which it would be necessary to carry out another type of urethroplasty. Interrupted polygalactin 4/0 to 6/0 suture oposing the urethral epithelium to that of the gland. Another meatotomy in the dorsal facet, deeper than the ventral is done, attaining a sufficient opening of the meatus (Figure 1C), followed by a 4/0 to 6/0 poligalactin interrupted suture, opposing the urethral epithelium to that of the gland (Figure 1D). This manœuvre widens the meatus, but attributes an antiesthetic appearance to it. Relaxing gland incision in an “inverted V” shape, with the base of the V close to the proximal limit of the dorsal meatotomy (Figure 1D), later liberating the V incision borders using acute dissection as means to do so. Continuous polygalactin 5/0 to 7/0 suture opposing the internal borders of the V incision, forming the superior portion of the new meatus (Figure 1E). Suture of simple polygalactin 4/0 to 6/0 stitches of the external borders of the V incision (Figure 1F), giving as a result a slit-like meatus with an optimal caliper (Figure 1H).
determinations, which were 285 ± 93 cc before the operation, and 80 ± 38 cc after the operation.

All the patients answered the satisfaction degree questionnaire which included both functional and aesthetic results obtained with the procedure. Data are resumed in Table 1.

They were followed during a mean time of 39.5 months (range 6 - 130). We noticed recurrence of the stenosis in 2 patients (3.5%), where one of them had surgery performed on him again and the other one developed a submeatal stenosis 5 years after the surgery. He is currently waiting for a distal urethroplasty with a buccal mucosa graft. 32 patients (56%) did not inform of a disperse urinary flow and only 2 of them manifested having a disturbance which had an impact on their lives. The other 23 patients had a very small dispersion of their micturition and it did not entail any significant trouble for the patient (Table 1).

Discussion

Multiple techniques were described for urethral stenosis treatment related to lichen sclerosus, particularly those using extragenital skin or buccal mucosa that are thought to correct lesions extending to the navicular fossa[7]. However, very few studies referring to the treatment of pure meatus lesions have been carried out, but even if they represent a less important pathology because of their small extension, they are still much more frequent.

Although meatal stenosis related to lichen sclerosus is a less severe condition than this same pathology affecting the urethra, it does not mean it represents an inferiorly dangerous entity, as it can give rise to serious complications such as urinary infections or chronic urine retention rarely associated to renal insufficiency, which is why meatal stenosis should not be considered as a trivial pathology[8].

A sub-meatal urethral stenosis with normal external meatus can have different aetiologies including infection and urological instrumentation, especially after a prostate transurethral resection[9] However, pure meatal stenosis (even when the stenosis is wide enough to include the navicular fossa) is more frequently observed associated to lichen sclerosus even if it has also been found in circumcised patients because of a previous phimosis no matter if the surgery was performed for religious, cultural or hygienic reasons[10].

Moreover, the existing literature on the subject provides the doctor trying to treat this pathology with a confusing selection of therapeutic options because such publications include patients with pure meatal stenosis, mental stenosis which extends to the distal urethra, sub-meatal stenosis with a normal meatus and any type of narrowing whichever may be the aetiology[11]. This is why in patients suffering from lichen sclerosus and presenting a distal urethral stenosis that involves the meatus and the navicular fossa, it has been proven that urethroplasty with buccal mucosa graft offers better results than the local skin graft technique (a higher recurrence rate has been noticed when using genital skin)[12]. Nevertheless, when stenosis is confined to the meatus, urethroplasty is usually not justified, in fact most of the time we use less complex techniques even if the results obtained are not as satisfactory as expected. Meatal dilatation is then, related to a high recurrence rate which frequently requires continuous auto-dilatation to maintain the meatus’s caliber. Equally so, the ventral meatotomy usually gives rise to a hypospadic meatus, particularly if the stenosis was severe, or if the meatus was already in a ventral position within the gland, this in itself associating with a high recurrence rate[13-16]. On the contrary, dorsal meatotomy provides an increased opening of the meatus than that performed ventrally, but due to the higher thickness of the corpus spongiosum in the dorsal face of the gland, the suture stitches necessary to oppose the borders give rise to a very anti-aesthetical meatus. However the relaxing incision “in inverted V” we describe allows the creation of a lineal and optimally cosmetic meatus.

A classic variation of the meatoplasty, described and made widely known by Blandy and Tresider[17], consists of a ventral flap, however with the disadvantage of leading to a hypospadic meatus, and with this a high tendency of dispersing the flow; also, as it happens with all local genital meatoplasties, the flap, with time, becomes surrounded in lichen sclerosus and it is the norm for a recurrence to occur[18-20]. The posterior modification proposed by De Sy[21] can improve the aesthetics, but as the approach is also ventral, it becomes a poorly adequate technique for a ventrally localised meatus.

Another proposed therapeutic method was circumferential fotovaporization of the meatus using carbon dioxide laser[22], but as all the other procedures above described, it does not fulfill the “ideal meatoplasty” criteria, which apart from permanently solving the obstruction, must proportionate a lineal meatus in the end of the gland which does not disperse the urine flow. All of these premises are achieved using the technique hereby exposed. Actually, we have had a very low recurrence rate, being 96% of patients bereft of recurrence during the mean surveillance time of 3.3 years (ranging from 6 months to 11 years). Equally, according to the aesthetic result, practically all of our patients (96%) were satisfied or very satisfied with the achieved cosmetic appearance, including the fact that the dorsal scar was barely perceptible.

The controversy raised in the literature in regards to the convenience of performing a postectomy in the case that it had not been done previously. Depasquale and col’s[12] have demonstrated that circumcision alone is capable of halting the lichen sclerosus’ progression in 92% of patients. Therefore, even though we do not consider it compulsory, even in those cases where lichen sclerosus is but perimeatal with no clinical signs of prepuce affection, we do advise it be done due to its stabilizing effect on lichen sclerosus, thus diminishing the probability of later affection of the prepuce due to the disease[23,24].

On the other hand, it is known that alteration in the morphology of the meatus or the navicular fossa is usually translated into an establishment of urinary flow dispersions, giving rise to “spray micturition”, as the existence of a uniform urinary flow, bereft of turbulences, depends on the urethra’s and the meatus’ shape. Thereby, it has been confirmed in flow dynamics studies, whose scientific fundamentals were firstly reported by Geoffrey Taylor in the Royal Society in 1960[25], where the change from a cylindrical tube to a lineal exit seems to be crucial for the fluid’s current to be free from turbulences (Figure 2). Consequently, it seems to be that all meatoplasty technique which expects to attain a uniform urinary flow must attempt to create a lineal meatus structure. In this way, the majority of our patients presented a dispersion free flow, and when there was flow, usually this was a scarce quantity which minimally disturbed the patient, as shown in Table 1.
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Figure 2: Scientific fundamental of fluid dynamics through a linear exit described by Geoffrey Taylor in the Royal Society (1960).

We believe the procedure described in this article is easily reproducible in any urology service, it does not require a complex infrastructure and it ostensibly improves the functional and aesthetic results of meatoplasties.

Conclusion

Dorsal “inverted V” meatoplasty is a technique easily carried out which is possible to perform under local anaesthesia, prevents the appearance of postsurgical hypospadias delivers excellent functional and aesthetic results, and has a low recurrence rate, therefore we consider that it must be included in urologists’ habitual repertoire.
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