Journal of Analytical, Bioanalytical and Separation Techniques



Mini Review

Open Access

Analytical Polymer Science

Muhammad Imran Malik*

H.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences (ICCBS), University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

*Corresponding author: Muhammad Imran Malik, H.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences (ICCBS), University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan, Tel: +92 21 34819018-9; E-mail: mimran.malik@iccs.edu

Citation: Malik, M.I., Analytical Polymer Science. (2016) J Anal Bioanal Sep Tech 1(1): 1-2.

Received Date: July 29, 2016 Accepted Date: July 30, 2016 Published Date: August 5, 2016 DOI: 10.15436/2476-1869.16.1005

Polymers are inherently complex multi-component systems exhibiting several distributed properties. Obviously, the foremost distribution would be the molar mass. Other distributions such as chemical composition, functionality and molecular architecture etc add more to the complexity of already complex systems. These properties superimpose over each other, therefore, analysis with regard to one property does not reveal any information with respect to other properties as a function of the measured property. Spectroscopic techniques such as NMR, FTIR etc divulge only average values but not the distributions. Hence, hyphenated and multidimensional approaches for analvsis of these complex systems are imperative to attain a fairly representative picture in order to develop real structure-property correlations.

To realize the multi-dimensionality, first dimension has to be a non-destructive separation method. The fractions from first dimensions can then be analyzed by any other technique. The most widely used separation technique in analysis of polymers is HPLC (column based separation technique)^[1-6] along with rather moderately employed field flow fractionation, FFF (an open channel separation technique)^[7], especially to overcome the limitations of HPLC-based approaches for polymer analysis. The size exclusion chromatography (SEC) is the work horse of polymer laboratories that separates with regard to size of the polymer species in the dilute solution that is then correlated to their molar mass by external calibration or absolute molar mass with the help of molar mass sensitive detectors such as light scattering and/or viscometry. Various modes of interaction chromatography such as Liquid Chromatography at Critical Conditions (LCCC), Liquid Chromatography under Limiting Conditions (LCLC), Temperature and Solvent Gradient Interaction Chromatographic Modes (TGIC and SGIC) are also used for analysis of polymers with respect to different properties such as chemical composition, functionality, and molar mass etc. Asymmetrical Field-Flow Fractionation (AF4) and Thermal Field-Flow Fractionation (ThFFF) are commonly employed modes of FFF for polymer analysis^[7].

In FFF, all problems related to the stationary phase such as undesired adsorption, shear degradation of large macromolecules, co-elution of linear and branched macromolecules etc, can be avoided. Off-line and on-line hyphenations of these different modes of liquid chromatography, and with spectroscopic techniques disclose very important information about polymer heterogeneity that is not possible by employing these techniques independently. The coupling of these separation methods to the information rich detectors can also reveal important information.

Briefly, the interaction parameter describes the separation mechanism in liquid chromatography of polymers^[8]. In Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC), its value is negative where retention decreases with increase in molar mass. In Liquid Adsorption Chromatography (LAC), value of interaction parameter is positive. The mid-point between these two extremes is Critical Adsorption Point (CAP) and value of interaction parameter at this point is zero. Polymers elute in order of decreasing molar

Copyrights: © 2016 Muhammad, I.M. This is an Open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. 1 Muhammad, I.M J Anal Bioanal Sep Tech volume 1: issue 1 mass in SEC and in order of increasing molar mass in LAC. The molar mass independent elution is observed at CAP. This special mode of liquid chromatography of polymers is called Liquid Chromatography at Critical Conditions (LCCC).

LCCC allows separation of polymers with regard to distributions other than molar mass such as other block, functionality, architecture etc. Liquid chromatography under limiting conditions is also based on exclusion-adsorption equilibrium and can be adjusted by using different mobile phase and sample solvent.

The basic requirement of any polymer lab is a size exclusion chromatograph that is first test to determine the propagation of size which is then correlated to the molar mass through an appropriate calibration curve (generally polystyrene). The values obtained shall be accurate only for linear polystyrene. By conventional SEC, the molar masses are either under or overestimated. Multiple detection systems are often used to overcome this problem. These multiple detectors also include molar mass sensitive detectors such light scattering or viscosity. The improved detection systems could not fully overcome the limitations but reduce these discrepancies to great extent.

Temperature and solvent gradient HPLC allows for separation of polymer with regard to chemical composition. This mode of LC is mostly used for high resolution separation of oligomers as well as for high polymers. Another important and widely used liquid chromatographic method is liquid chromatography at critical conditions. It makes one of the segments chromatographically invisible and separation with regard to other distributions could be realized.

Since, polymers are very complex, therefore, a single, stand-alone technique is usually not enough to obtain true picture of the composition of the sample. Introduction of multiple detectors improve the situation but still could not fully overcome the limitations. Hyphenations of different modes of LC with each other and with other spectroscopic techniques is the obvious choice for more detailed insight into the product. For two-dimensional LC, samples are separated by one mode of LC with regard to one distribution and fractions are subjected to analysis in the second dimension by any other mode of LC of polymers. The hyphenations can be realized on-line or off-line. Both online and off-line approaches have their own pros and cons. Similarly, LC separation can also be hyphenated to spectroscopic techniques (such as NMR, mass spectrometry, FTIR etc.). These hyphenations of different modes of LC with each other and with spectroscopic technique reveal very important information about molecular heterogeneity that was not possible otherwise.

The column-based fractionation technique suffers from degradation of the samples, unavoidable adsorption, limited exclusion limits etc due to presence of porous stationary phase.



OMMEGA Publisher

References

1. Malik, M.I., Pasch, H. Novel developments in the multidimensional characterization of segmented copolymers. (2014) Prog Polym Sci 39(1): 87-123.

2. Pasch, H., Trathnigg, B. Multidimensional HPLC of Polymers. (2013) Springer Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

3. Berek, D. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography of synthetic polymers. (2010) Anal Bioanal Chem 396: 421-441.

4. Uliyanchenko, E., van der Wal, S., Schoenmakers, P.J. Challenges in polymer analysis by liquid chromatography. (2012) Polym Chem 3(9): 2313-2335.

5. Pasch, H., Malik, M.I., Macko, T. Recent Advances in High-Temperature Fractionation of Polyolefins. (2012) Adv Polym Sci 251: 77-140.

6. Pasch, H., Malik, M.I. Advanced Separation Techniques for Polyolefins. Springer Labortary Series. (2014) Springer, Cham-Heidelberg-New York-Dordrecht-London.

7. Malik, M.I., Pasch, H. Field-flow fractionation: New and exciting perspectives in polymer analysis. (2016) Prog Polym Sci.

8. Hiller, W., Sinha, P., Hehn, M., et.al. Online LC-NMR – From an expensive toy to a powerful tool in polymer analysis. (2014) Prog Polym Sci 39 (5): 979-1016.