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Abstract

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) belong to prion diseases that are invariably common fatal neurological disorders and affect humans and animals. Prion protein (PrP) was regarded as the only infectious agent in prion diseases and much attention was focused on the safety of PrP in foodstuffs. In this review, the progress on the safety of milk relation to PrP and transmission route of prion diseases through milk are reviewed, including the discovery, content, source and the risk assessment of PrP in milk and milk products. And some possible control ways were proposed, which might be of particular interest for the improvement of milk safety relation to PrP in dairy food.

Introduction

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) affect humans and animals which most commonly known as prion diseases are invariably fatal neurological disorders. Prion diseases have emerged globally two hundred years ago as a significant threat to animal health and then human and prion protein (PrP) was believed to be the only causative agent of these diseases[1] which included bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in bovine, sheep and goat scrapie, human classic and variant Creutzfeldt Jakob disease (vCJD) and so on. All of these diseases are characterized by the post translational misfolding of the host cellular isoform of the PrP (PrP⁰) into an abnormal, infectious isoform PrP (PrP⁰⁰), which affecting the central nervous system (CNS) within a variety of mammal species and humans. BSE first appeared in 1986 in UK and soon it propagated in many European countries and others outside of Europe, ever involved to epidemic proportions with 1000 cases occurring per week in 1992[2]. Even more worrying was that the public health as food-meat transmission of BSE to human was known to be the cause of the vCJD[3] and the infectivity of scrapie seemed to be higher compared to cattle BSE[4]. The mechanism of etiology of TSE has not been fully elucidated to date but PrP had been proved to be the only infectious agent, which plays a central role in the pathogenesis of these diseases[1-5]. Since then, PrP was regarded as a newly infectious agent that resulted in zoonosis in human and many animals, and much research attention was focused on this protein. As we all know, many evidences proved that the prion in milk from scrapie affected ruminates can transmit this disease, directly or through the presence of milk derived material in animal feed stuffs[6-9]. It also raised some concern with regard to the risk to humans of TSE exposure milk from ovine and cow.

In this article, the basic characteristics, physiological functions, the source and content of PrP in milk and its risk assessment were reviewed. The aim is to provide some references to the milk safety and processing and animal resistant breeding.

PrP and Prion Diseases

PrP is a membrane bound glycoprotein whose role in life had not fully elucidated. It has been proved that PrP played an important role in biological functions, such as trafficking of copper ions, yielding cellular antioxidative defense, cytoprotective activities, cell early embryogenesis, cell adhesion, signal transduction and regulation of apoptosis[10,11] and so on. Furthermore, PrP⁰ is a receptor for Amyloid-beta that results in human Alzheimer Disease[12,13]. The normal or PrP⁰ is an apparently benign protein that is capable of mis-folding...
into a protease resistant conformation designated PrPSc. PrPSc is a prerequisite for the development of TSEs as demonstrated by prion protein encoding gene (PRNP) knock-out mice being fully resistant to prion disease[14,15]. PrPSc and PrPC had the same primary structure and different conformational structure. The two PrP conformations were significantly different in physical and chemical characteristics. PrPSc is extremely resistant to most of the chemical and physical processes that inactivate conventional viruses, and it does not evoke any detectable immune response or inflammatory reaction in host animals. Fortunately, there is an in vitro assay termed protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA) can be used to detect PrPSc from many animal tissues[16]. This method is a simple, sensitive and quick assay for identification of PrPSc comparison of animal testing and immune-histochemistry methods.

The prion diseases have been found in many mammalian species except for above mentioned, as well as chronic wasting disease (CWD) in deer and elk, Gerstmann-Rassaufl Scheinker disease (GSS) and fatal familial insomnia (FFI) in humans. Human vCJD was believed to be transmitted from cattle or other animals via their derived food products[17]. There were experimental evidences including epidemiological and biological showed that the PrPSc caused vCJD[18]. It was reported that the employment of prion contaminated gonadotropins, cornual transplantsations, dura mater grafts and surgical instruments had resulted in more than 250 cases of iatrogenic CJD in the 1970s with drastic implications for clinical practice[19]. And the excreta, urine and even infected soil had infectivity for prion diseases[19-21]. The oral route was considered to be the most plausible way of common infection, and the ruminant derived meat and bone meal (MBM) affected prion was regarded as the BSE source[17]. Therefore there is concern that the PrPSc can be transmitted to humans by biological materials (i.e. meat products, milk, blood) from susceptible BSE animal species (mostly cows but possibly, sheep and goats). The knowledge of food safety in relation to PrP indicated that the removal of the specified risk materials (SRM) from the meat products would result in a major reduction of the BSE exposure risk associated with meat food-stuffs[22]. So, the detection and control of PrPSc resource is a key procedure in the prevention for prion diseases, especially for the food chain. A ban on the use of meat and bone meal in the cattle feed ultimately resulted in a progressive decline of the BSE epidemic[23]. In recent years, risk assessment was carried out by EU for many animal tissues from cattle, sheep and goat to reduce the risk for BSE in food chain[22,24].

Milk is an important component of the human diet as well as animal feeds. Given the BSE epidemic in the UK and the continuing occurrence of low numbers of BSE cases, if prion was secreted within milk it seemed most probably that considerable numbers of people have been exposed to the BSE agent through milk ingestion. What is certain is that the pathogenesis of prion diseases resulting from repeated low dose exposure[23]. Considering these results, the detection of PrP in ruminant milk and milk products suggested that it had a potential risk to human for prion diseases[25,26]. Therefore, much attention was given to the safety of milk and milk products after the detection of PrP in them.

Milk and the Source of PrP

PrPc is usually located on the extracellular surface, attached via glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor domain to cholesterol and sphingolipid rich membrane micro domains called lipid rafts. It is abundant in central nervous system (CNS) tissue, but it is also in non-neuronal tissues such as mammary gland at lower concentration. It was demonstrated for the first time that the existence of soluble PrPc and PrPSc fragments in fresh ovine milk as well as in commercial homogenized and pasteurized bovine milk in 2007[27]. The PrPc existed in fresh and commercially processed bovine milk, which had a near full length as PrPSc species in CNS, although they were absent or below the detection limits in powdered milk[27]. With this finding, it promoted a number of questions: could such PrPSc species be converted into PrPSc, could similar secretory pathways result in the occurrence of exocrine PrPSc and therefore did milk play a role in prion diseases transmission? Of course, we now know that at least part of prion diseases can be transmitted by affected ruminant milk, such as in sheep, goat and cow. The prion was detected in milk from ewes incubating natural scrapie and animal testing had been shown that the prion could contribute to the animal TSE transmission process[28]. In the next year, related studies proved that prion was secreted in milk from clinically and scrapie subclinical infectious sheep and the prion could be as seed for PMCA detection[29,30].

In general, there are two known major routes through which proteins are secreted into milk. Firstly, it can be transmitted with the cell shedding pathway. A certain number of cells can be found in the fresh milk which secretes from healthy dairy animals including cow and sheep, the number of cells is lower than 2 × 105 mL-1, which is adapt to animal mammary glands autimmune[29]. The number of 98 % cells in milk comes from white blood cells including macrophages, leucocyte neutrophil and lymphocyte, and others deciduous epithelial cells located on the mammary tissue[26,30]. If animals were under inflammatory conditions, the total number of immune cells would increase in milk, it might be possible that infectious PrPSc was also passing through and reaches the milk. Recent studies showed that PrPSc was selectively localized in mammary gland epithelial cells and expressed largely at the basolateral cell surface that had active secretion[31]. PrPSc was shed into milk from clinically normal, scrapie exposed animals, and it could be detected using serial protein misfolding cyclic amplification (sPMCA) at least 20 months before clinical onset[32].

Secondly, the proteins can be transmitted into milk by cells secretion. The protein exocytotic secretion produced epithelial cells within mammary gland, and the secretion was associated with milk fat globules, the vesicular transcytosis of serum- and stromal cell-derived proteins as well as through a paracellular transport system that was responsible for the secretion of plasma components and leukocytes[27]. One case demonstrated that bovine PrPc was selectively localized in mammary gland epithelial cells and expressed largely at the basolateral cell surface that showed active secretion[31]. The existence of PrPc in mammary gland cells supported the hypothesis that the apocrine secretion mode of milk fat globules was a major transporting way into the milk[26].

In addition, milk processing had little or no effects on the structure of PrPc and PrPSc due to their specific physical and chemical characteristics, except for diluting and decreasing their concentrations. Certainly, the characteristics and presence of PrP increased the milk risk to ingestion. PrP were also existed in full
fat milk, semi skimmed and skimmed bovine milk at reduced levels compared with unprocessed milk. While baby formula milk contained considerably lower level of PrP^C which were below the detection limits[23].

Milk and the Content of PrP

The milk and milk products represent a major nutrition component in our life, so it seems of particular important to analyze whether the PrP is to be present in milk and milk products. The detection and safety assessment of PrP in milk were given much attention in recent years because of its risk has a directly strong relationship with human health. Considering of the existence of PrP in blood and skeletal tissues, it was supposed that there would have PrP in which come from the blood cell and mammary gland epithelial cells. But limited lack of sensitive tools for monitoring the lower concentration of PrP, there no PrP has been detected in milk because the high concentration of total protein (about 40 mg/ml) and high amount of lipids (about 35 mg/ml). Thus it was believed that PrP^C and PrP^Sc only existed in cellular fraction from blood, but not in milk[24].

With the development of detection technologies for protein, several assays including immune-histochemistry, Western Blot, ELISA and immunoprecipitation and others were used to detect PrP with qualitative and quantitative in milk and even mammary gland cells. In view of a recent study showing evidence of prion replication in the mammary gland of sheep with scrapie and mastitis, the researchers concluded that the presence of normal prion in milk implied a possibility that milk from animals with TSEs served as a source of infectious agent[26]. Using these assays, it has been proved that the expression pattern of PrP in milk has a species-specific manner in different animals. The contents of PrP^C had a significantly difference in bovine, ovine and caprine mammary gland, skimmed milk, acid whey and cream. The relative highest expression level associated with the cream fraction, and the PrP in milk had similar full length and structural characteristics as in nervous tissue[27,28]. Comparison with brain-derived PrP^C, prion in milk displayed an increased electrophoretic mobility in ovine milk, and it had been proved that ovine and goat milk contained PrP^C in all fractions with an additional truncated form at 12 kD by Western blot. This truncated PrP isoform was the predominate one in goat acid whey[27].

Franscini et al[29] developed an adsorption matrix termed Alicon PrioTrap for detecting milk PrP, which can bind to PrP with high specificity and affinity. This method could help detecting PrP^C in milk from humans, cows, sheep and goats. After treating with this technology, 10 mL milk was detected with Western Blotting, which from cow, sheep, goat and human, respectively. The results showed that there had three PrP^C isoforms in cow milk with an apparent molecular mass of about 34 kD, 30 kD and 27 kD corresponding to diglycosylated, monoglycosylated and unglycosylated PrP^C, respectively. About the same distribution of PrP^C isoforms were observed in sheep, goat and human milk, although the total amount of native PrP^C significantly differed between the species. The studies of quantitative investigation showed that the total concentration of PrP^C in fresh cow milk could be estimated to be about 200 pg/mL. The relative amount of PrP^C in fresh milk in sheep, goat and humans containing about 1 ng/mL, 40 pg/mL and < 10 pg/mL, respectively. The relative ratio of PrP^C in sheep, cow, goat and human fresh milk was estimated at 100:20:4:1[29]. The absolute amount of PrP^C differed between the species and the concentration differed from µg/L range in sheep to ng/L range in human milk. PrP^C was also found in homogenized and pasteurized off-the-shelf milk, and even in ultrahigh temperature treatment milk that only partially diminished endogenous PrP^C concentration. The concentration of PrP^C in pasteurized and ultrahigh temperature treated milk was reduced comparison with fresh milk, but the PrP^C could be detected clearly, their contents were equal to goat fresh milk[26].

Milk and the Transmission of PrP^Sc

The increasing awareness and involvement of consumers in milk and milk products safety issues, strongly stimulated by the BSE crisis in Europe and other states, which had resulted in the introduction of Food Standards Agencies in several countries. Over the last 30 years, scientific groups, risk assessment agencies and public health organizations have debated the TSE transmissible risk for milk and milk products. In 1997, the Scientific Steering Committee of the European Commission has categorized risk materials in 4 classes. Colostrum, milk and mammary gland tissues have been classified in category 4, i.e. “infectivity not detected”. In 2000 investigations started in Great Britain to test milk fractions, especially the fraction of somatic cells, for the possible occurrence of prions, using newly developed and highly sensitive methods, results showed no indications of a BSE transfer via the milk to the calves[30]. Tyshenko[31] reported a risk assessment for milk and milk products from Canadian infected dairy cows, the evidence indicated that the risk of the consumption of milk was negligible. And available epidemiological and bioassay data have not provided evidence for milk to be as an infectious agent before 2006 because of the lack of sensitive tools for detecting the infectious PrP agent. The detection and identification of PrP^Sc in milk from infected sheep could be as a source for scrapie that acted as a trigger for a series of discussion in the world since 2006[28]. The transmission risk from milk and milk products has been debated in the scientific and public for at least twenty years.

The studies on risk from milk and milk products relation to PrP were taken out since 1960s. In 1995, Taylore et al[32] reported that milk from BSE affected cows was given to mice (n = 275) by drinking (i.e. average consumption of 300 mL per mouse for a total of 40 days) or combined intracerebral (0.02 mL) and intraperitoneal (0.1 mL) routes, but no clinical disease and no neuropathological lesions were found after a period of two years observation, and no evidence was found in experimental mice which were transmitted with milk affected PrP^Sc. A few other studies have investigated the BSE infectivity by challenge of experimental animals with milk concentrated from BSE affected ewes to calves, but no infectious evidences were found, so the milk and dairy products were taken for safety for a long time since then[33]. In addition, the different risk issues from TSE susceptible animals were categorized in different classes by the European Commission and WHO for medicinal products. Milk, colostrum and the mammary gland tissues have been classified in the category of no detectable infectivity, or to say these products in relation to BSE was negligible, a secondary contamination of milk was virtually excluded[34]. Furthermore, no affected people were found after a long time survey of drinking milk, it was considered that milk and milk products had no transmissibility[35]. In the later several years since 1995, data from many studies further supported the conclusions concerning...
the safety of milk. No BSE cases had been occurred out of off spring born to BSE-infected cows. The known evidence from other animals and humans suggested that milk did not transmit prion diseases. Because of prion infectivity had never been found in milk and milk products originating from TSE-affected ruminant flocks before 2006 year, the milk and milk products were not unrestricted into the animal and human food chain[38].

Under these conditions, the risk of milk and milk products in relation to BSE was negligible for a long time although BSE had been found in several animal species during this period. Data from epidemiological studies as well as from bioassay available before 2006 did not indicate the existence of infectious prion in any milk components, including the mammary gland, milk and colostrum as well as somatic milk cells of clinically BSE affected cows[38]. Based on all the achievements, the major authorities in the world at that time had, following consideration of the results of transmission and epidemiological studies, announced that milk was safe to prion diseases[39]. The authorities included the world health organization, the office international des epizooties, the European commission and the UK spongiform encephalopathy advisory committee. In addition, the United States department of agriculture had placed no restriction on the importation of milk from BSE affected countries. It followed that milk products were also regarded as safe provided that they contained no other animal derived product for which a favorable risk assessment has been completed. All the authorities regarded no epidemiological evidences to support milk being a source of BSE infectivity at that time[39]. On the other hand, scrapie and BSE were far more widely disseminated in many states and animal species in this period[40]. And in the later years, many more sheep were infected with scrapie, which resulted in the scientists doubted that the BSE affected sheep with mastitis could transmit the prion diseases through unknown pathway[41]. A epidemiological study has found an increased incidence of scrapie in the off-spring of scrapie-affected ewes, which is suggestive of material transmission, but not know what happen[42]. Furthermore, ovine PrPSc seemed to be present in lymphoid structures with mastitis affected mammary glands in scrapie sheep[43]. Under these conditions, the safety of milk was again given much attention considering of a relative study showed that prion replica from BSE affected cow to humans and other species could be transmitted via milk affected scrapie. The known evidence from TSE-affected sheep, the foundation of PrPSc accumulation at time 199 days[44]. In fact, before the finding of these facts, the European food safety authority had claimed that TSE infectivity could be spread via milk, which indicated that the risk may be higher than previous thought. It was reported that the detection of infectivity in colostrum and milk could be done from sheep incubating natural scrapie before several months clinical onset[45]. Prion infectivity was present in milk and colostrum, especially for lymph proliferative chronic mastitis, and even those displaying lesion-free mammary gland. In all tested infectivity milk fractions, cell pellet, casein whey and cream were regarded as with higher transmission rate and/or shorter incubation period[46]. One experimental case demonstrated that the ingestion of as little as 1 to 2 liters of milk from scrapie and lympho follicular mastitis affected sheep could cause prion infection in sheep lambs with middle PRNP susceptibility ARQ/ARQ genotype at an attack rate of 86%[47]. Interestingly, it suggested that species barrier would decrease the transmission risk in many animal species. Furthermore, rearing type had effects on transmission rate, i.e. the lambs fed artificially had lower risk than that of material feeding[48]. Update now, it is certain that the milk and even many milk products will be infective agent for scrapie and other prion diseases, in species and/or even different species.

Conclusions and Prospects

Together with these recent progresses on infectious prion in milk, the evidences indicated that there has a risk of prion diseases could be spread to other animals through dietary exposure to milk and milk products. World milk production ranged around 500 million tons a year, which contribute 13% to the world protein supply for humans. The studies to determine the extent of infectious prion enter our global food supply would be worthwhile and important for risk assessment. Before fresh milk reached the consumer, it was usually homogenized to reduce fat particle size in order to increase digestibility of the milk and heated. But the procedure treating for milk could not eliminate or destroy endogenous PrPC and PrPSc except for a partial reduction of the concentration, included pasteurization and ultrahigh temperature treatment. Under these conditions, the risk assessment of PrP in milk and milk products is very important for food safety. The potential BSE risk for milk and milk products needs to be evaluated by risk assessment. Today, the existence of PrPC and PrPSc had been detected in fresh milk as well as in mammary gland epithelial cells in ovine and cow; the experimental cases showed the TSE could be transmitted via milk affected scrapie. Unfortunately, as we have known that, the incubation period of BSE averages 4 d to years, animals are infected with the BSE long before they manifest any symptoms. When infected symptom-free animals are milked, their milk is mixed in collection...
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tanks with milk from healthy animals. If the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} is present in milk, it could theoretically contami-nate the whole collection tank.

But meanwhile, there were a few control measures which had a major effect on the control of BSE, including removing the materials with the highest infectious load from food and feed\cite{59}. The combination of one and more enforced control measures could be able to reduce transmission levels or risk by 99\% based on a recent quantitative analysis. The BSE and other prion diseases had been effectively controlled by food inspection and resistant animal breeding with the quarantine technology and molecular biology development\cite{51}. The FDA had prohibited the use of animal feeds given to ruminant and required the process to ensure that ruminant feed did not contain the prohibited mammalian tissue since 1997. In the next several years, the milk from BSE infected cattle had been banned to enter human food chain. Although it was supposed that the risk from milk did appear to be much smaller than from eating beef or cattle organ tissues.

In addition to milk must be sourced from healthy animals, the PrP and other specific proteins can be inspected, detected, regulated and even separated with modern biotechnologies in milk procedure\cite{20,52,53}. For example, the endogenous PrPC could be completely eliminated after the second treatment with Ali- conPrio Trap\cite{59}. On the other hand, resistant breeding is another method for milk safety. The resistant animals (sheep and cow) can be selected according to the polymorphisms of PRNP\cite{20,53,54}. These methods will ensure of providing more safety milk in our life.
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