Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in Advanced Alzheimer’s Disease and End-of-Life: First 10 Years
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Abstract
The Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in advanced Alzheimer disease and end-of-life is characterized by a high Mini Suffering State Examination (MSSE) scale score, less than 6 months survival, irreversible and intractable aggravation of suffering and actively dying medical condition until demise. The Aminoff Suffering Syndrome was first defined by us, presented and published 10 years ago in the 10th International Conference on Alzheimer’s disease and Related Disorders (Madrid, 2007). Its diagnosis in end-of-life was performed by measuring the suffering level of patients by evaluating the Mini Suffering State Examination (MSSE) scale score. The treatment of patients with Aminoff Suffering Syndrome at the end of life is a great challenge to medical and nursing personnel. The diagnosis of Aminoff Suffering Syndrome opens new horizons in the approach to anguish at end-of-life and provides a novel method for identifying advanced Alzheimer’s disease patients that require immediate palliative treatment.
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Introduction
Several important questions await experimental evidence from well-validated clinical studies performed on patients at the end-of-life. Such questions include:
1) How is the suffering level of patients who are enrolled in palliative care measured?
2) Does palliative care successfully reduce and alleviate human suffering until demise?
3) Which are the best validated tools for evaluating the suffering level at the end of life, that is, from diagnosis of suffering before and during palliative care, and until demise?
4) What is the best approach for diagnosing short survival at the end of life?

Undoubtedly, additional questions still remain to be answered. According to the results of a prospective clinical study on measuring the level of suffering at the advanced Alzheimer disease and end of life, a new clinical and pathological entity was defined, that is, Aminoff Suffering Syndrome. The data were presented at world and international congresses in Madrid, Saint-Petersburg, Trondheim, Paris, Honolulu, Athens, Copenhagen, Seoul and Edinburgh. The Aminoff Suffering Syndrome raises a lot of interest in the scientific literature[2-5].

Aminoff Suffering Syndrome (ASS)
The Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in advanced dementia is characterized by a high Mini Suffering State Examination
(MSSE) scale score, less than 6 months survival, irreversible and intractable aggravation of suffering and actively dying medical condition until demise[20].

In the year 1907, Dr Alois Alzheimer published a famous case report on Mrs Auguste Deter[20]. In an article published in 2013, the authors[21] were able to establish that Mrs Deter suffered from Aminoff Suffering Syndrome. The last months, weeks and days of Mrs Auguste Deter’s life was in high suffering level by MSSE and definitely in Aminoff Suffering Syndrome with the description of her medical history and follow up which was reported by Dr. Alois Alzheimer in 1906.

**Mini Suffering State Examination scale (MSSE)**

The MSSE (Table 1) scale[12,13] is the first objective clinical tool for the evaluation of suffering level in advanced dementia. The MSSE scale finds extensive use in medical research[14-17] and practice[18-21]. The MSSE scale is available in English, Hebrew, Dutch[22], German, Italian, Spanish and Slovenian[23] and covers 10 items (range 0 - 10). A high MSSE scale score with range of 7 - 10 indicates a high level of suffering, and reflects the severity of the medical condition in advanced dementia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSSE Score Interpretation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low level of suffering</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate level of suffering</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High level of suffering</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A high MSSE score indicates a high level of suffering. The MSSE can be used as a diagnostic tool in the treatment and prevention of suffering in a patient with end-stage dementia. The well-being of an end-stage dementia patient is in inverse proportion to his level of suffering. The end-stage dementia patient with a low MSSE score has a good quality of life.

The MSSE scale was tested using the Cronbach α model, which demonstrated its significant reliability (α = 0.798). A κ agreement coefficient of 0.791 between two observers was found. Both observers found a significant association between the three MSSE levels and age (P = 0.02), haemoglobin (P = 0.02), albumin (P < 0.001), cholesterol (P = 0.04), use of analgesics or antipsychotics (P = 0.04).

The convergent validity of the MSSE scale was proven by Pearson correlation with Symptom Management in End-of-Life Dementia (SM–EOLD) scale (r = 0.574, P < 0.0001) and Comfort Assessment in Dying with Dementia (CAD–EOLD) scale[24] (r = -0.796, P < 0.0001). The mean survival of end-stage dementia patients with a low MSSE scale score (MSSE = 2.24 ± 0.99) was 57.76 ± 9.73 days, and with a median MSSE scale score (MSSE = 4.92 ± 0.83), the mean survival was 44.70 ± 5.99 days. In the high MSSE scale score group (MSSE = 8.06 ± 1.00), mean survival was much lower (27.54 ± 4.16 days)[25].

The differences between the survival times of the three MSSE scale score groups was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis (Log Rank, P = 0.0018, Breslow, P = 0.0027) and were significant (Figure 1). The results of the Cox proportional Hazard model of survival showed a high correlation between high MSSE scale score and high risk of mortality, and short survival of end-stage dementia patients during the last 6 months of life with significant predicting validity (P = 0.013)[26].

According to the MSSE scale, it was confirmed that patients with end-stage dementia represent a heterogeneous group and have different levels of suffering, and accordingly proved a significant concurrent validity. The results of the current research showed that hospitalization in the geriatric department of a tertiary hospital in Israel failed to reduce the high level of suffering of such patients. The total score of the MSSE scale of advanced dementia patients, on the day of admission to the geriatric department was 5.62 ± 2.31, and increased to 6.89 ± 1.95 on the last day of life with a significant test-retest reliability (P < 0.001)[27].

Despite traditional medical and nursing care, a large proportion of dying patients with dementia experienced increased suffering as they approached death. According to the MSSE scale, 63.4 and 29.6% experienced high and intermediate levels of suffering, respectively, with only 7% having a low level of suffering upon their demise[28].

On the last day of life, 71.8% of dying patients with dementia and Aminoff Suffering Syndrome were not calm, 71.4% had decubitus ulcers, 94.4% suffered from malnutrition, 95.8% had eating disorders, 90.1% experienced invasive procedures and 90.1% were in an unstable medical condition. The suffering level in advanced dementia has a significant correlation with
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short survival, advancing age, more severe illness, malnutrition, the existence of decubitus ulcers and the administration of medications\textsuperscript{39].

Discussion

The life of patients with end-stage dementia is filled with grief, secretion and stench, suppuration and wounds, crying, screaming or silent pain. This appears to be the natural and essential path of end-stage disease and aging. In the modern world, despite advanced medical science, the society at large is not always aware of the terrible suffering of elderly people in the last stage of life\textsuperscript{39].

The world outside the confines of the hospital is unaware of what transpires in the wards of hospitals and nursing homes. This also applies to other tragic circumstances.

Physicians and nursing staff facing day and night with difficult and exhausting tasks. Despite the difficulty in coping, it appears that medical personals have accepted this dreadful process of death. The patients’ families are not always aware of this reality. Those who are in fact aware of the seriousness of the patient’s condition, often distance themselves from the hospital and its environs. Others may engage in harsh altercations with the medical staff responsible for treatment.

Medicine today facilitates extended longevity at a high price of suffering to the patients, their families, and even to the medical professionals. It is easy to calculate the daily and annual costs of hospitalization. The maintenance costs are enormous over such an extended period. In the future, with increasing successes in the treatment of heart diseases and tumors, patients with dementia may well be the majority in hospital departments. One of the suggestions is preventing avoidable hospital admissions for people with advanced dementia\textsuperscript{29].}

The perpetual and increased agony of an end-stage dementia patient is reminiscent of the suffering of patients prior to the era of anaesthesia or antibiotics. The main causes of suffering at the end-of-life are inadequate medical and nursing care, overprotection phenomenon with dying patients\textsuperscript{30,31} and Geriatrics D refusal phenomenon\textsuperscript{32].}

Possible solutions to suffering at the end of life include measuring the level of suffering, and enrolment of patients diagnosed with Aminoff Suffering Syndrome to homes or hospital palliative care settings, or alternatively to Relief of Suffering Units\textsuperscript{33,34} within hospitalization departments. The Relief of Suffering Units that can perform daily medical task without any new pecuniary or equipment expense should switch from futile intensive medical care to intensive nursing care. Intensive nursing care could prevent and relieve suffering at the end-of-life by a more meticulous approach to symptoms of not calm, screams and pain, decubitus ulcers, malnutrition and eating disorders, and thus obviate futile invasive action. Special vigilance and tenderness, warm hands, constant surveillance of the dying patient and intensive professional nursing care are challenges for nursing staff, and are a guarantee for relief and prevention of suffering at the end of life.

The suffering assessment\textsuperscript{35-39} and quality of dying evaluation\textsuperscript{40,41} are important at the end of life. Some available instruments developed for suffering assessment in end of life are: Initial assessment of suffering\textsuperscript{42], Pictorial Representation of Illness and Self Measure\textsuperscript{43], Suffering Assessment Tool\textsuperscript{44], State of Suffering-V\textsuperscript{45], The Suffering Scales\textsuperscript{46], Structured Interview for Symptoms and Concerns Scale\textsuperscript{47].

The outcomes assessed in palliative care involve symptoms, physical signs, laboratory tests, evaluation scales, questionnaires for activities of daily living or quality-of-life, in order to interpret the quality of provided care\textsuperscript{48,49]. Unfortunately, the overall reporting rate for the validation of articles in palliative care journals is only 1.43 \textsuperscript{50}], and there is a paucity of studies on patient-centered validation methods.

Proposals

The authors appeal to all medical researchers involved in geriatric care to perform experimental prospective studies in their respective clinical settings:

1. Diagnosis of Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in end-of-life patients with cancers and other malignant neoplasms.
2. Diagnosis of Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in end-of-life patients with AIDS, heart, kidney, pulmonary and liver diseases.
3. Measurement of suffering level by diagnosis of Aminoff Suffering Syndrome on the day of admission and on the last day of life to evaluate effectiveness of treatment in a hospice setting.
4. Routine diagnosis of Aminoff Suffering Syndrome in geriatric, internal medicine, surgery and other departments for subsequent enrolment of patients in palliative care or Relief of Suffering Units.

Conclusion

Aminoff Suffering Syndrome awaits its wide use in medicine\textsuperscript{51]. Its diagnosis could reduce the suffering of patients at the end of life by adequate medical and nursing care\textsuperscript{52].}
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