Development of a “Fast-Track” Protocol for Hydrocele Surgery
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Abstract
Objectives: To describe a multimodal recovery protocol (fast-track surgery) for hydrocele surgery, as developed by our urology department over the course of the last 10 years. Likewise, our aim was to demonstrate the use of this protocol improves the cost effectiveness of the procedure, compared to the classical procedure which requires hospital admission and general or spinal anaesthesia.

Material and Methods: We compared two groups of patients. The first group underwent hydrocelectomy under our multimodal recovery protocol in an outpatient setting, with data collected prospectively, while the second group of patients underwent hydrocelectomy before the implementation of the multimodal recovery protocol, with hospital admission and general or spinal anesthesia, with data collected retrospectively. The results obtained were assessed and satisfaction degree ascertained by survey.

Results: The results obtained with fast-track protocol were comparable to those of conventional surgery. Only two of the patients needed to be admitted in hospital due to postoperative complications, which it was not related with the outpatient setting treatment. The satisfaction degree with the treatment was found to be over 95%.

Conclusions: Virtually all patients affected by hydroceles could be suitable candidates for a fast-track surgery programme, with the consequent improvement in cost-effectiveness of the procedure with no reduction of the quality of care received or patient satisfaction.

Introduction

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) concept, also known as “fast-track surgery” or “multimodal rehabilitation after surgery”, was developed in the early 90s when a group of researchers stated different approaches to improve postoperative recovery in patients undergoing elective Surgery[4,5], of note among which are the value of patient counselling and taking the patient as an integral part of the postoperative recovery time, being the main goal of this concept a reduction in the length of hospital stay and minimization of inherent complications of Surgery[4,5].

Although fast-track surgery was initially described in colorectal disease, the good outcomes obtained with this approach has resulted in its practice by other specialties, such as anesthesia, thoracic surgery, gynecology, urology, where this concept has shown an improvement in postoperative recovery[6-9], using protocols which advocate a multimodal approach,
combining postoperative procedures based on scientific evidence in order to reduce surgical stress and improve postoperative recovery[10,11].

Moreover, the growing interest in both, improving the cost-effectiveness of hospital processes and producing lower social and labour disruption in patients has favoured Major Outpatient Surgery (MOS) advance unstoppable. One of the methods used to achieve these short-term stays in hospital is precisely this new concept of “fast-track surgery”, as an expression of a coordinated effort that combines modern concepts of patient education with new schemes of analgesics, anesthetics and minimally invasive surgical techniques[12-15].

Hydrocelectomy is the most frequently urological surgical procedure performed in MOS[16-18], since hydrocele is a frequent complaint for urological consultation[19-22] and surgical technique meets all inclusion criteria recommended in the Spanish MOS guidelines[12].

Patients and Methods

In January 2000 our urology department became part of the MOS unit at our centre, since then 1000 patients have undergone hydrocelectomy in a strict outpatient surgery setting. However, it was not until mid-2005 when we designed a protocol following the fast-track surgery concept for hydrocele intervention. This protocol has been periodically updated guided by outcome assessment, being the last update in January 2012.

To perform this study, we compared two groups of patients, group 1 comprising by patients underwent hydrocelectomy following the multimodal recovery protocol (group fast-track), with data collected prospectively, and group 2 comprising by patients underwent hydrocelectomy before multimodal recovery protocol was designed (classical group), where patients were treated under the classical concepts of hospitalization and surgery with general or spinal anesthesia. In this group data were collected retrospectively.

Between January 2012 and January 2016 we have performed a total of 358 hydrocelectomies. 312 patients aged between 14 and 89 years (mean 54.8) underwent hydrocelectomy following the updated multimodal recovery protocol comprising the fast-track group. Hydrocele was in the left side on 198 patients, in the right side on 144 patients, and bilateral in 46 cases.

Regarding physical status of patients, according to the American Society of Anesthesiologists criteria, 165 patients ASA I (53%), 95 patients ASA II (30%), and 52 stable patients ASA III (17%) were included in the fast-track group.

A detailed history taking anda complete physical examination with scrotal ultrasound was performed during the first medical visit. Preanesthetic assessment was requested and information about the procedure was given, signing the informed consent in all cases. In addition a brochure explaining the process was given to the patients (Figure 1 and 2) which included all pre and postoperative instructions as well as a telephone number for assistance if any complications arose.

Once the preanesthetic assessment was complete, patients were noticed by telephone about the date for his hospital admission.

### Table 1: Explanatory brochure (frontcover).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative Recommendations</th>
<th>Postoperative Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. You will be notifying about the date you have to go for hospital admission.</td>
<td>1. You must remain at rest at home during the first four days after the surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eat a light dinner the night before of surgical procedure. Then you have to fast.</td>
<td>2. Eat a soft meal during the first 24 hrs after the surgery. Do not drive. Do not drink alcoholic beverages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The day of the procedure don’t eat or drink anything (Except if you were prescribed with some medication)</td>
<td>3. You may have pain in the surgical site. You will take the analgesic prescribed during the first 48 hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To minimize the risk of wound infection shaves your groin area and the corresponding side of scrotum and take a shower the morning of the day of surgical intervention.</td>
<td>4. You may have a little rise in body temperature (100, 4º F). It’s normal during the 48 - 72 hrs. If you have a higher body temperature, let us know.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If you use dental prostheses or intraocular lenses, take it off before coming to the hospital. Wear comfortable clothes and a tight underpants</td>
<td>5. You don’t have to remove the suture. It’s an absorbable suture. Stitches fall usually in a week, but they can fall even after( until 1 month)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Take your usual medications</td>
<td>6. Wear a tight underpants during the first 2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If you have had any changes in your health, let us know( fever, common cold, etc)</td>
<td>7. You may have scrotum tightened swelling during 1 or 2 months, but it is a transient state, getting back to normal at 3rd month after surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Come to the hospital with a responsible adult. You cannot drive cars either before or after the procedure.</td>
<td>8. If you have any situation (i.e. bleeding) go to the emergency department or call us (609 360 315).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hydrocelectomy was performed in all patients using the following surgical sequence: EMLA cream (lidocaine 25 mg/g + prilocaine 25 mg/g) was topically applied in the corresponding previously shaved scrotum and groin area approximately one hour before surgery. Infiltration of the spermatic cord with local anesthetic (10 - 20 ml of lidocaine 1%), is done by clamping the spermatic cord at level of the root of scrotum (where vas deferens leaves the superficial inguinal ring). In cases of giant hydroceles it is advisable to infiltrate the cord in the same place, fixing it to the pubic bone. Also scrotal skin was infiltrated where the incision was performed. A transversal incision of no longer than 3 - 4 cms was performed, trying to preserve the scrotal vessels. Exposure of the tunica vaginalis and aspiration of fluid until the sac get a size that allows be removed through the small skin incision. Longitudinal incision of the sac and plication of tunica vaginalis around the entire circumference with absorbable suture or eversion was performed. Introduction of the testicle in the scrotum and closing wound with absorbable suture. We consider in admissible the systematic use of intrascrotal drainage tubes. In all cases antibiotic prophylaxis was performed using a single dose of 750 mg cefuroxime I.V.

Postoperative recommendation were given, describing the administration of minor analgesics, use of a scrotal jockstrap and extreme hygiene measures of the surgical wound. After surgery, all patients remained under observation about 1 hour until discharge. Patients received, by medical staff or nursing, postoperative phone call the evening of the intervention and the next morning to control their postoperative conditions and to reassure the patient with inattentive feelings that could cause outpatient surgery. If necessary, the patient is referred to the emergency department of our centre.

The postoperative medical visits are performed a week after surgical procedure, at 1 month and at 3 months later. At medical office we performed a short anonymous survey about satisfaction degree about the treatment received.

To compare the results with a control group of patients operated classically group by inpatient surgery and spinal or general anesthesia (classical Group), retrospectively studied patients undergoing hydrocele during the 2 years prior to the establishment of fast-track protocol. Thus, during January 2003 to January 2005 they were performed 70 hydrocelectomies 64 patients aged between 18 and 82 years (mean 58.1). Hydrocele was left at 38, right in 26 and bilateral in 6 cases. Regarding the physical state, 39 of them were qualified ASA I (61%), 18 ASA II (28%) and 7 ASA III well compensated (11%).

In classical group, 53 patients (83%) underwent surgery under spinal anesthesia and 11 patients (17%) under general anesthesia and they all were hospital admitted, with a mean length of stay of 1.41 ± 0.8 days (range 1–5). Regarding statistical analysis of the results, the data are expressed with the mean, standard deviation and range. The analysis was performed using SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). When it was necessary to compare groups, the Student t-test was used, considering statistical significance a p < 0.05. When we compared qualitative analysis of the results, the data are expressed with the mean, length of stay of 1.41 ± 0.8 days (range 1–5). Regarding statistical analysis of the results, the data are expressed with the mean, standard deviation and range. The analysis was performed using SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). When it was necessary to compare groups, the Student t-test was used, considering statistical significance a p < 0.05. When we compared qualitative

Results

There were no statically significant differences between both groups with respect to age, anesthetic-surgical risk, laterality of the injury or etiology of the hydrocele, therefore both groups were homogeneous.

All the patients from the fast-track group has undergone surgery with local anesthesia, however, in 32 cases (10%) it was necessary to add sedo analgesia through an infusion of propofol and remifentanil due mostly to the severe anxiety symptoms in some patients instead of pain or intolerance to the procedure.

The average time used in the surgical intervention in the fast-track group was 25 ± 9 minutes in the unilateral cases (range 15 – 45) and 35 ± 10 minutes in the bilateral ones (range 25 – 55). In the classic group, the time was significantly greater (p < 0.005), being the average 50 ± 15 minutes (range 40 – 75) in the unilateral hydroceles and 60 ± 15 (range 45 – 80) in the bilateral ones.

All the patients from the fast-track group were discharged after the intervention, with none of them requiring hospital admission because of immediate intra or postoperative complications. A total of 15 patients (4.8%) were attended in the emergency department during the first postoperative day, 8 of them because of intense pain in the surgical incision which was resolved increasing treatment regimen of oral analgesia with 2 of them adding anxiolytic treatment; the other 7 patients because of bleeding from wound, where 2 of them required admission and surgical exploration.

Patients from the classic group, stayed in hospital, being the mean length of stay of 1.41 ± 0.8 days (range 1–5) with none of them presenting important complications, with the exception of 2 patients who received spinal anesthesia and required urethra vesical catheterization because of acute postoperative urinary retention and 1 patient that required surgical exploration because of gross bleeding from wound. After discharged, 6 patients (9%) were attended in the emergency department because of pain and scrotum swelling which was resolved with minor analgesics, lacking of statistical significance when being compared to the other group.

Three months after the intervention, all of the patients presented a satisfactory evolution, with 296 (95%) of the patients from the fast-track group answering the survey about the treatment received in the MOS Unit, where 247 (83%) rated it as “Excellent”, 40 (14%) “Good”, 9 (3%) “Regular” and none (0%) “Deficient”.

Discussion

Hydrocele is easily diagnosed by physical examination, but ultrasound is often very useful for diagnosis of concomitant disease. Thus, in all of our patients a preoperative scrotal ultrasound was performed, which we consider it very important, mainly to rule out testicular tumor as the cause of the scrotal swelling. Moreover, in the present protocol, the ultrasound study is included in the first preoperative medical visit, which significantly increases the efficiency of the medical visit thus fulfilling the concept of “high resolution consultation”[23].

The standard treatment for hydrocele has always been surgical. Evacuation punctures is a low complexity technique often requested by patients, but it will never solve the problem, so recurrence is the norm. Similarly, sclerotherapy has been presented as an alternative to hydrocelectomy in high-risk surgical patients, or patients who have dismissed surgery as treatment[29]. However, some recently studies have been published advocating
sclerotherapy as the treatment of choice instead of hydrocelectomy\[^{22-25}\]. Several agents have been employed for this purpose, with different results reported depending on the agent used and the number of instillations performed, apparently dependent on the size of the hydrocele. Some authors\[^{26}\] make a correlation between the number of injections of sclerosing agent and the size of hydrocele, where the procedure needs to be repeated more than three times in hydroceles with a volume less than 500 ml. Moreover, sclerotherapy have a high incidence of side effects (postoperative pain, infection, hematoma, scrotal induration by granulomatous enlargement of the tunica) and a high rate of recurrence (usually multilocular and difficult to treat) and can cause epididymis obstruction, so it should be contraindicated in young patients in whom fertility is a priority. In addition, sclerotherapy is totally contra indicated in young patients where the existence of a communicating hydrocele is suspected. Worthy of note is a recent meta-analysis that concluded sclero-therapy have a high rate of long-term recurrence compared to hydrocelectomy\[^{24}\].

We faced sclerotherapy results to our surgical experience based on hydrocelectomy on an outpatient setting under local anesthesia following the fast-track protocol, approach we think is reproducible, which does not require an exhaustive patient selection, which makes a correct use of health resources and decreases the anesthetic-derived morbidity.

We prefer lidocaine as a local anesthetic instead of other amide compounds, because of its lower cardiotoxic effect (if it were accidentally injected into the bloodstream), its faster anesthetic action and its less painful sensation during injection\[^{27}\].

We prefer to make a transverse hemiscrotal incision following skinfolds and scrotal vessels, as it allows minimal bleeding and offers better cosmetic results (scarremains hidden by these folds). In case of bilateral hydrocele, we prefer a unique longitudinal incision on median raphe, because it allows us access to both hydroceles from a single incision. We always advocate making small incisions, because once the hydrocele is evacuated, if it was a large incision, it often become disproportionate. In our experience, with a 3 - 4 cm in length scrotal incision, even giant hydroceles can be solved.

Basically, there are three surgical techniques described for hydrocele: Lord’s technique (vaginal plicature), Jaboulay’s technique (vaginal eversion) and Andrews technique (vaginal resection). Whenever the tunica vaginalis is thin, Lord’s technique is the preferred procedure, because of tissue dissection is low, it provides less bleeding and edema and avoids the use of drainage tubes. In cases of enlargement of the tunica vaginalis we recommend Andrews technique and the use of a drainage tube, because even if hemostasis were perfect, we must leave out the excess of transudate fluid that may occur\[^{28}\].

It’s a fact when starting a MOS unit in a hospital, an increasing number of patients are treated there. We could observe after introducing the hydrocele fast-track protocol, it was a gradually increasing rate of patients treated under an outpatient setting and less patients treated with hospital admission (classical setting). Thus, after the first year of the implementation of hydrocele fast-track protocol, the outpatient setting surgery rate was 35%, reaching present almost 100%, as shown in [Figure 2].

**Figure 2:** Replacement rate of “classical” by “Fast-track” hydrocelectomy.

**Conclusions**

Implementation of fast-track protocols in the surgical treatment of hydrocele leads to a reduction of hospital length of stay and decrease postoperative morbidity, improving the cost-effectiveness of the procedure. Almost all scrotal fluid collections can be resolved under local anesthesia in a strict outpatient setting. Nowadays hydrocelectomy under spinal or general anesthesia with hospital admission is an unnecessary overuse of health care resources and should be avoided.

**Conflict of interest:** Authors declare no conflicts of interest.
References


